

A COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX-II  
v.  
M/S. KRISHI UTPADAN MANDI SAMITI  
(Civil Appeal No. 7040 of 2012 etc.)

B SEPTEMBER 27, 2012  
**[S.H. KAPADIA, CJI., AND MADAN B. LOKUR, J.]**

*Income Tax Act, 1961:*

C ss.11(1)(a) and 2(15) – Assessee-Market Committee  
established under State Krishi Utpadan Mandi Adhiniyam and  
registered u/s. 12AA of 1961 Act – Statutorily required to  
transfer its funds to Mandi Parishad (another institution  
established under the Adhiniyam) – Transfer of the funds  
D whether would constitute application of income for charitable  
purpose within meaning of s. 11(1)(a) – Held: The Adhiniyam  
was enacted for advancement of the object of general public  
utility in terms of s. 2(15) of 1961 Act – The transfer by the  
assessee would constitute application of its income for  
E charitable purpose (which includes advancement of object of  
general public utility) u/s.11(1)(a) – Uttar Pradesh Krishi  
Utpadan Mandi Adhiniyam, 1964 – ss. 12.

s.12(1) – Transfer of funds by Mandi Samiti (assessee)  
to Mandi Parishad – Whether constitutes application of  
F income u/s. 11(1)(a) of 1961 Act – Assessing Officer holding  
that assessee not entitled to claim exemption u/s. 12(1)  
because the contribution by the assessee to the Parishad was  
not voluntary but was a statutory requirement – Held:  
Assessing Officer erred in invoking s. 12(1) – ss. 12(1) and  
G 11(1)(d) deal with voluntary contribution while the issue in the  
present case pertained to transfer of amount to the Mandi  
Parishad u/s. 11(1)(a).

**The question for consideration in the present**

appeals was whether amounts transferred by the assessee-Mandi Samiti to Mandi Parishad would constitute application of income for charitable purposes within the meaning of Section 11(1)(a) of the Income Tax Act, 1961? A

The Revenue contended that the amounts transferred to the Parishad would not constitute application of income within meaning of s. 11(1)(a) of the Act in view of the fact that the assessee is only a conduit which collects Mandi Shulk (fees) and utilization of the fee is by the Parishad (whose accounts are not verifiable), and not by the assessee. B C

Dismissing the appeals, the Court

HELD: 1.1 Keeping in mind the statutory scheme of Uttar Pradesh Krishi Utpadan Mandi Adhiniyam, 1964 whose object falls u/s. 2(15) of Income Tax Act, 1961, there is no doubt that the assessee satisfies the conditions of Section 11(1)(a) of the Act. The income derived by the assessee [which is an institution registered under Section 12AA of 1961 Act] from its property has been applied for charitable purposes which includes advancement of an object of general public utility. [Para 6] [942-E-G] D E

1.2. In the present case, the Department has not withdrawn the registration under Section 12AA of the Act. Even after the amendment of Section 10(20) and Section 10(29) of the Act, the assessee continues to enjoy the registration under Section 12AA of the Act for the reason that the assessee is a Market Committee statutorily established under Section 12 of the Adhiniyam for the advancement of the object of general public utility in terms of Section 2(15) of the Act. Moreover, it is always open to the Department to verify and find out whether the Mandi Parishad has utilized the amounts for the purposes of the Adhiniyam. [Para 5] [941-D-G] F G H

A        1.3. Under Section 19(2) of the Adhiniyam, all  
expenditure incurred by the assessee in carrying out the  
purposes of the Adhiniyam [which includes advancing  
credit facilities to farmers and agriculturists as also  
construction of development works in the Market Area]  
B has to be defrayed out of the Market Committee Fund and  
the surplus, if any, has to be invested in such manner as  
may be prescribed. This is one circumstance in the  
Adhiniyam to indicate application of income. Similarly,  
under Section 19-B(2) of the Adhiniyam, the assessee is  
statutorily obliged to apply Market Development Fund for  
C the purposes of development of Market Area. Under  
Section 19-B(3), assessee is statutorily obliged to utilize  
the amounts lying to the credit in the Market Development  
Fund for extending facilities to the agriculturists,  
D producers and payers of market fees. The Market  
Development Fund is also to be statutorily utilized for  
development of market yards. Similarly, all contributions  
received by the Market Committee [Mandi Samiti] from its  
members under Section 19(5) shall be statutorily paid by  
the Market Committee [assessee] to Uttar Pradesh State  
E Marketing Development Fund. These provisions clearly  
indicate application of income of the assessee to the  
statutory funds set up under the Adhiniyam. [Para 6] [942-  
A-E]

F        2. In one of the matters, the Assessing Officer held  
that the assessee was not entitled to claim the benefit of  
exemption under Section 12(1) of the Act because the  
contribution to the Parishad was not voluntary but a  
statutory requirement. Such finding is not correct. On  
G reading the Adhiniyam it is clear that the word  
“contribution” in the Adhiniyam is in the context of what  
the members contribute to the Fund(s) held statutorily by  
the Mandi Samiti which merely transfers the amount(s) to  
the Fund(s) of Mandi Parishad. Even the question framed  
H by Court/Authorities below is “Whether amounts

transferred by the Mandi Samiti would constitute application of income under Section 11(1)(a) of the Act". Therefore, the question of voluntary contribution under Section 11(1)(d) or under Section 12(1) does not arise. The question of "control" may be relevant in the context of Section 11(1)(d) or under Section 12(1). However, in the present case, the question framed deals with application of income under Section 11(1)(a). Hence, the Assessing Officer had erred in invoking Section 12(1). In view of the provisions of the Adhiniyam it is held that the transfer of the amounts by Mandi Samiti constituted application of income under Section 11(1)(a) of the Act. [Para 7] [943-B-E; 944-A]

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION : Civil Appeal No. 7040 of 2012.

From the Judgment & Order dated 4.12.2009 of the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, Lucknow Bench, Lucknow in ITA No. 102 of 2009.

WITH

C.A. No. 7041, 7042, 7044, 7045, 7046, 7047, 7048, 7049, 7050, 7051, 7052, 7053, 7054, 7055, 7056, 7057, 7058, 7059, 7060, 7061, 7062, 7063, 7064, 7065, 7066, 7067, 7068, 7069, 7070, 7071, 7072, 7073, 7074, 7075, 7076, 7077, 7078, 7079, 7080, 7081, 7082, 7083, 7084, 7085, 7086, 7087, 7088, 7089, 7090, 7091, 7092, 7093, 7094, 7095 and 7096 of 2012.

Arijit Prasad, Rahul Kaushik, Sadhana Sandhu, Anil Katiyar (for B.V. Balaram Das) for the Appellant.

Akarsh Garg, Sunil Kumar Jain, Dr. Rakesh Gupta, Poonam Ahuja, Rani Kiyala, Sunil Kumar for the Respondent.

The Judgment of the Court was delivered by

A **S.H. KAPADIA, CJI.** 1. Heard learned counsel on both sides.

Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

B 2. This batch of civil appeals has been filed by the Department.

3. The question, which arises for determination in this batch of civil appeals, is as follows:

C “Whether amounts transferred by the assessee to Mandi Parishad would constitute **application** of income for charitable purposes within the meaning of Section 11(1)(a) of the Income Tax Act, 1961?”

D 4. M/s. Krishi Utpadan Mandi Samiti, respondent-  
assessee herein, is a Market Committee incorporated and  
registered under the Uttar Pradesh Krishi Utpadan Mandi  
Adhiniyam, 1964 [“1964 Adhiniyam”, for short]. The assessee  
carries out its activities in accordance with Section 16 of 1964  
E Adhiniyam under which it is required to provide facilities for  
sale and purchase of specified agricultural produce in the  
Market Area. The Members of the said Market Committee  
consist of producers, brokers, agriculturists, traders,  
commission agents and arhatiyas. The source of income of the  
F assessee is in the form of receipt collected as market fee from  
buyers and their agents, development cess on sale and  
purchase of agricultural products and licence fees from traders.  
Under 1964 Adhiniyam, broadly, there are two distinct entities  
or bodies. One is Mandi Samiti [Assessee] and the other is  
G Mandi Parishad. Mandi Samiti [Board] is established and  
incorporated under Section 12 of 1964 Adhiniyam for a  
specified Market Area. Section 16 of 1964 Adhiniyam, inter  
alia, concerns functions and duties of the Market Committee.  
Under Section 16(1) of 1964 Adhiniyam, the Market Committee

H

is under statutory obligation to enforce the provisions of 1964 A  
Adhiniyam, the Rules and Bye-laws made thereunder so as to  
provide such facilities for sale and purchase of specified  
agricultural produce, as may be specified by the Mandi  
Parishad from time to time. Section 17 of 1964 Adhiniyam  
deals with powers of the Mandi Samiti. Section 17(iii), inter alia, B  
empowers the Mandi Samiti to levy and collect market fee  
payable on transactions of sale of specified agricultural  
produce in the Market Area at such rates, as may be  
prescribed by the State Government. Under Section 17(iii)(b),  
the Mandi Samiti is also empowered to charge and collect C  
development cess. Under Section 17(iv), the Mandi Samiti has  
to utilise Market Committee Fund for the purposes of 1964  
Adhiniyam. Under Section 17(v-a), Mandi Samiti can even  
advance loans to Mandi Parishad on such terms and conditions  
as may be mutually agreed upon between Mandi Parishad and  
Mandi Samiti. Section 19 deals with constitution of Market D  
Committee Fund and its utilization. Section 19(1) stipulates that  
all monies received by Mandi Samiti shall be credited to a fund  
called "Market Committee Fund". Section 19(2), inter alia,  
states that all expenditure incurred by the Committee in carrying  
out the purposes of 1964 Adhiniyam shall be defrayed out of E  
Market Committee Fund and surplus, if any, shall be **invested**  
in such manner as may be prescribed. The expenses to be  
incurred and debited are indicated in Section 19(3). Section  
19-B of 1964 Adhiniyam deals with establishment of Market  
Development Fund. Under Section 19-B, the Mandi Samiti shall F  
establish a fund to be called "Market Development Fund" to  
which amounts shall be credited as may be directed from time  
to time by Mandi Parishad. Under Section 19-B(2), the Market  
Development Fund shall be applied for development of the  
Market Area. Under Section 19-B(3), the purposes for which G  
Market Development Fund shall be utilised has been indicated.  
Section 26-A of 1964 Adhiniyam deals with establishment of  
Mandi Parishad [**Board**]. Under 1964 Adhiniyam, the Board  
shall be a body corporate. Section 26-P, inter alia, states that

- A the Mandi Parishad [Board] shall have its own fund which shall be deemed to be a local fund and in which shall be credited all monies received by or on behalf of the Board, except monies required to be credited in the State Marketing Development Fund under Section 26-PP. Under Section 26-PP, State
- B Marketing Development Fund has been established for Mandi Parishad [Board] in which amounts received from the Market Committee under Section 19(5) shall be credited. Section 19(5), inter alia, states that every Market Committee shall, out of its total receipts realised as development cess, shall pay to the
- C Mandi Parishad [Board] **contribution** at a specified rate. The said payment from the Market Committee [Mandi Samiti] shall be credited to the State Marketing Development Fund under Section 26-PP. The State Marketing Development Fund shall be utilized by the Mandi Parishad [Board] for purposes indicated under Section 26-PP(2). Section 26-PPP deals with
- D establishment of Central Mandi Fund to which amounts specified in sub-section (1) shall be credited. Section 26-PPP(2), inter alia, states that the Central Mandi Fund shall be utilized by Mandi Parishad [Board] for rendering assistance to financially weak and under-developed Market Committees; that
- E the Funds would be used for construction, maintenance and repairs of link roads, market yards and other development works in the Market Area and such other purposes as may be directed by the State Government or the Board.

- F 5. It is not in dispute that both, the Mandi Samiti and the Mandi Parishad, are duly registered under Section 12AA of the Income Tax Act, 1961 ["1961 Act", for short]. It is also not in dispute that, after the amendment of Section 10(20) and Section 10(29) by Finance Act No.2 of 2002 with effect from
- G 1st April, 2003, that the word "**Local Authority**" has lost its restricted meaning and, therefore, the assessee [Market Committee] has to satisfy the conditions of Section 12AA read with Section 11(1)(a) of 1961 Act, like any other body or person. According to Shri Rajiv Dutta, learned senior counsel

H

for the Department, in view of the said Amendment *vide* Finance Act No.2 of 2002, the assessee has to show that, during the relevant Assessment Year, income has been derived from property held under Trust and that the said income stood **applied** to charitable purposes. According to the learned counsel, if one analyses the scheme of 1964 Adhiniyam, it becomes clear that the amounts **transferred** by the assessee to Mandi Parishad cannot constitute **application** of income for charitable purposes within the meaning of Section 11(1)(a) of 1961 Act in view of the fact that the assessee [Mandi Samiti] is only a conduit which collects Mandi shulk [fees] whereas utilization of the said Mandi shulk is not by the assessee but is made by another entity, i.e., Mandi Parishad, whose Accounts are not verifiable and, therefore, according to the Department, such income will not get the benefit of exemption under Section 11(1)(a) of 1961 Act. We find no merit in this contention. In this case, we have analysed the scheme of 1964 Adhiniyam. In this case, the Department has not withdrawn the registration under Section 12AA of 1961 Act. In this case, we are only concerned with the question as to "whether **transfer** of amounts collected by Mandi Samiti to Mandi Parishad [Board] would constitute application of income for charitable purposes under Section 11(1)(a) of 1961 Act?" Even after the amendment of Section 10(20) and Section 10(29) of 1961 Act, the assessee continues to enjoy the registration under Section 12AA of 1961 Act for the reason that the assessee is a Market Committee statutorily established under Section 12 of 1964 Adhiniyam for the advancement of the object of general public utility in terms of Section 2(15) of 1961 Act. [See also Section 16 of 1964 Adhiniyam]. Moreover, it is always open to the Department to verify and find out whether the Mandi Parishad has utilized the amounts for the purposes of 1964 Act.

6. The question is what do we mean by "application of income"? This judgment is confined to the statutory scheme of 1964 Adhiniyam. Under Section 19(2) of 1964 Adhiniyam, all



A expenditure incurred by the assessee in carrying out the purposes of 1964 Adhiniyam [which includes advancing credit facilities to farmers and agriculturists as also construction of development works in the Market Area] has to be defrayed out of the Market Committee Fund and the surplus, if any, has to be **invested** in such manner as may be prescribed. This is one circumstance in the 1964 Act to indicate application of income. Similarly, under Section 19-B(2) of 1964 Adhiniyam, the assessee is statutorily obliged to **apply** Market Development Fund for the purposes of development of Market Area. Under Section 19-B(3), assessee is statutorily obliged to utilize the amounts lying to the credit in the Market Development Fund for extending facilities to the agriculturists, producers and payers of market fees. The Market Development Fund is also to be statutorily utilized for development of market yards. Similarly, all contributions received by the Market Committee [Mandi Samiti] from its members under Section 19(5) shall be statutorily paid by the Market Committee [assessee] to Uttar Pradesh State Marketing Development Fund. These provisions clearly indicate application of income of the assessee to the statutory Funds set up under 1964 Adhiniyam. Keeping in mind the statutory scheme of 1964 Adhiniyam, whose object falls under Section 2(15) of 1961 Act, there is no doubt that the assessee satisfies the conditions of Section 11(1)(a) of 1961 Act. The income derived by the assessee [which is an institution registered under Section 12AA of 1961 Act] from its property has been applied for charitable purposes which includes advancement of an object of general public utility. Consequently, we see no reason to interfere with the impugned judgement of the High Court.

G 7. Before concluding, one point needs to be highlighted. In one of the matters, the Assessing Officer has held that, on the facts and circumstances of the case, the assessee was not entitled to avail the benefits of exemption under Section 12(1) of 1961 Act, despite the fact that it was registered under Section 12AA of 1961 Act, because the assessee was

H

statutorily obliged to contribute to the Fund of the Mandi Parishad under 1964 Adhiniyam. Therefore, according to the Assessing Officer, there was no voluntary contribution. Absent such voluntary contribution, according to the Assessing Officer, the assessee herein was not entitled to claim the benefit of exemption under Section 12(1) of 1961 Act. We find no merit in this finding of the Assessing Officer. At the outset, it needs to be mentioned that the Assessing Officer has not understood the scheme of the 1964 Act. On reading the 1964 Adhiniyam (Act) it is clear that the word "contribution" in the Adhiniyam is in the context of what the members contribute to the Fund(s) held statutorily by the Mandi Samiti which merely *transfers* the amount(s) to the Fund(s) of Mandi Parishad. Even the question framed by Court/Authorities below is "Whether amounts transferred by the Mandi Samiti would constitute application of income under Section 11(1)(a) of 1961 Act". Therefore, the question of voluntary contribution under Section 11(1)(d) or under Section 12(1) does not arise. The question of "control" may be relevant in the context of Section 11(1)(d) or under Section 12(1). However, in the present case, the question framed deals with application of income under Section 11(1)(a). Hence, the Assessing Officer had erred in invoking Section 12(1). Section 11(1) deals with four items of "income" from property held for charitable purposes. These four items of income are distinct and separate items of income. Section 11(1)(d) deals with the fourth item of income. Section 11(1)(d), inter alia, refers to income in the form of voluntary contributions made with a specific direction that it shall form part of the corpus of the Trust or Institution whereas Section 12(1) refers to non-corpus voluntary contribution. In the present case, neither Section 11(1)(d) nor Section 12(1) of 1961 Act is attracted. In the present case, the narrow controversy is, whether, in the facts and circumstances of the case, the amounts statutorily transferred to Rajya Krishi Utpadan Mandi Parishad would constitute application of income for charitable purposes under Section 11(1)(a) of 1961 Act? Looking to the provisions of

A

B

C

D

E

F

G

H

A 1964 Adhiniyam we hold that the transfer of the amounts by  
Mandi Samiti constituted application of income under Section  
11(1)(a) of 1961 Act.

8. For the above reasons, these civil appeals filed by the  
B Department are dismissed with no order as to costs.

K.K.T.

Appeals dismissed.