

**Court No. - 74**

**Case :-** WRIT - C No. - 36282 of 2023

**Petitioner :-** Smt Komal And Another

**Respondent :-** State Of U.P. And 4 Others

**Counsel for Petitioner :-** Ajay Kumar

**Counsel for Respondent :-** C.S.C.

**Hon'ble Saurabh Srivastava,J.**

1. Although, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel for State-respondents is present but none appeared on behalf of petitioners even in the revised call.

2. By way of the present writ petition, a direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents not to interfere in peaceful marital life of petitioners, has been sought.

3. At the very outset, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel sought the attention of the court over the documents appended for substantiating the prayer as made in the petition but at the same time, it is also intimated by learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel that the instant petition has been preferred in the year 2023 and at present, no cause of action is surviving and as such, the prayer made in the petition has become redundant.

4. Considering the arguments raised by learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel, it is crystal clear that the instant matter has been preferred at the behest of petitioners for prayer mentioned herein but the same was perpetuating against the adverse situation available before petitioners in the year and time when the instant petition has been filed.

5. At present, no cause of action survives for pressing the instant petition preferred at the behest of the petitioners and as such, the petition is **dismissed as infructuous.**

**Order Date :-** 30.4.2025

#Vik/-