

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 11994/2025

1. Sanjay Yadav S/o Vijaypal, R/o Kaliyahoda, Police Station Neemrana, District Kotputli-Behrur (Raj.) (Presently Confined In Central Jail, Alwar).
2. Rajveer @ Raju S/o Omprakash, R/o Kaliyahoda, Police Station Neemrana, District Kotputli-Behrur (Raj.) (Presently Confined In Central Jail, Alwar)

----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ashvin Garg
Ms. Vaishnavi

For Respondent(s) : Mr. S.S. Ola
Mr. Kailash Choudhary
Ms. Arti Sharma, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

26/09/2025

1. The present bail application under Section 483 of BNSS is filed by the applicants-accused **Sanjay Yadav S/o Vijaypal** and **Rajveer @ Raju S/o Omprakash** seeking bail in respect of a criminal case registered as FIR No.367/2025 dated 05.07.2025 registered at P.S. Neemrana, District-Kotputli-Behrur, for the offence under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 109(1), 115(2) of BNS and Section 3/25 of Arms Act.
2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants, have been falsely implicated in the matter and the investigation against them is complete and they are no

more required in investigation. He further submits that there are no chance of fleeing of applicants-accused from the jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court. The applicants do not have any criminal antecedents. He also submits that the applicants undertake not to repeat offence and cooperate with investigation/trial, which will take time.

3. Learned counsel for applicants-accused submitted that applicants-accused are innocent persons and are in custody since long and trial will take its time and from tomorrow, there is *Navaratra* and *Vijayadashmi* Festival and for celebrating the said Festivals with family members, the applicants may be enlarged on bail. He further submits that these applicants were not named in FIR and a co-accused Pankaj Yadav @ Susu S/o Jagdev is granted bail by this Hon'ble Court on 10.09.2025 and case of both applicants is identical with them.
4. Learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for complainant have vehemently opposed the bail application and submissions made by learned counsel for the applicants-accused.
5. Heard learned counsels for the parties and learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the material placed on record by both the parties.
6. Having considered the submissions advanced on behalf of both parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, the Court finds that the grounds raised in support of the bail application, coupled with other facts such as absence of any apprehension regarding absconding of applicants-

accused, tampering with evidence, or influencing witnesses, it is justified to grant of bail.

7. Thus, the instant bail application filed on behalf of applicants-accused **Sanjay Yadav S/o Vijaypal** and **Rajveer @ Raju S/o Omprakash**, is hereby allowed and the applicants-accused are ordered to be released on bail with condition that each of the applicant would furnish a personal bond of ₹50,000/- with two sureties of like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court with the following conditions:-

- (i) The applicants-accused shall not tamper with evidence or influence the witness in any manner.
- (ii) The applicants-accused shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not repeat any criminal offence punishable under the Law.
- (iii) The applicants-accused shall attend the hearing of the Trial Court on the date fixed by the Trial court or as and when asked to appear before the Trial Court.
- (iv) In case of any violation of above conditions, the bail granted to the applicants-accused shall be liable to be canceled.

8. The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order to the Trial Court through E-mail.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J