



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1159/2025**

LOVEE NARULA

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Garima Singh, Mr. Prince
Kumar, Mr. Rohit Verma and
Mr. D.D. Verma, Advs.

versus

**DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT, GOVT OF INDIA,
THROUGH ITS ASISTANT DIRECTOR DELHI ZONAL
OFFICE-II**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ishank Jha and Ms.
Vaishnavi Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINDER KAUR

ORDER

29.03.2025

%

CRL. M.A. ____/2025 (to be numbered)

1. This matter is taken up on mentioning.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide Order dated 28.03.2025, the *interim* Bail was granted to the petitioner for a period of 10 days and on conditions as prescribed in the aforementioned Order, but there is a typographical error that inadvertently FIR No. 59/2024 has been mentioned instead of ECIR No. ECIR/DLZO-II/03/2024 dated 16.03.2024 could not be mentioned.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this typographical error be corrected in the Order dated 28.03.2025.
4. Learned counsel for the Respondent appearing through Video



Conferencing submits that he has received the advance copy of this application and has no objection to the typographical error sought to be corrected.

5. In view of the submissions made, the typographical error in the Order dated 28.03.2025 stands corrected to be read as ECIR/DLZO-II/03/2024 dated 16.03.2024 instead of the FIR No. 59/2024, as mentioned in the said Order due to the typographical error.

6. This Order shall form part of the Order dated 28.03.2025.

7. The application is, accordingly, disposed of in the above terms.

8. *Dasti* be given under the signatures of Court Master.

SHALINDER KAUR, J

MARCH 29, 2025/sds/KM