SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
			WPMS No. 3154 of 2022 with
			WPMS No. 2322 of 2022
			Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.
			Mr. Rajveer Singh, Advocate for the
			petitioners.
			2. Mr. Yogesh Chandra Tiwari, Standing
			Counsel for the State of Uttarakhand.
			3. Mr. Raunak Pant, Advocate, holding brief
			of Mr. Naresh Pant, Advocate for the NHAI.
			4. Since common questions of law and fact
			are involved in these writ petitions, therefore
			they are heard together and are being
			decided by a common judgment. However,
			for the sake of brevity, facts of Writ Petition
			(M/S) No. 3154 of 2022 alone are being
			considered and discussed.
			5. According to the petitioner, his land was
			acquired by National Highway Authority of
			India for construction of the Highway, which
			contention, however is disputed by learned
			counsel appearing for the National Highway
			Authority of India.
			6. An award was passed by Collector,
			Haridwar under Section 3G(5) of National
			Highways Authority of India, Act 1956.
			Petitioner challenged the said award under

Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

- 7. In this writ petition, petitioner has challenged the order passed by District Judge, Haridwar in proceedings under Section 34 of the said Act.
- 8. Learned counsel for the respondents raised an objection that the appropriate remedy for the petitioners would be to file Appeal under Section 37 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.
- 9. This submission is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioners.
- 10. Accordingly, the writ petitions are dismissed on the ground of alternate remedy with liberty to petitioners to approach the appropriate forum under Section 37 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. Petitioner shall also be at liberty to claim benefit of Section 14 of the Limitation Act.

(Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.)
28.02.2025

Navin

