

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH

WRIT PETITION NO: 7674 OF 2017

Between:

Parne Padma W/o Late Buchireddy, Occ Household, R/o Puchakayalagudem,
H/o Thopucharla Village, Indugala Vilalge, Madugulapally Mandal, Nalgonda
District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. State of Telangana, Rep. by its Pri. Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Saifabad, Hyderabad.
2. District Collector, Nalgonda District
3. The Tahsildar, Madugulapally Mandal, Nalgonda District

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the orders of the 3rd respondent in Procs.No- B/116/2017 dated 02.2017 as illegal, arbitrary, contrary to provisions of A.P. Water, Land and Trees Act, 2002 and rules framed there under, violative of Articles 14 and 21 of Constitution of India and consequently suspend the operation of order in Procs.No- B/116/2017 dated 02.2017 passed by the 3rd respondent

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2017(WPMP. NO: 9462 OF 2017)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of order in Procs. No. B/116/2017 dated 02.2017 passed by the 3rd respondent pending disposal of the above writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. M SOLOMON RAJU

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.SARATH

WRIT PETITION No.7674 of 2017

ORDER:

This petition is coming for hearing since 2017. On 31.01.2025, when the matter was called, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner, and therefore the matter was directed to be listed today under the caption "for Dismissal". Even today, when the matter is called, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It seems that the petitioner is not interested to prosecute this case.

2. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed for Non-prosecution/default. There shall be no order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any in this petition, shall stand closed.

SD/-A. SRINIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. M SOLOMON RAJU Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies

KKS
BS


PA

HIGH COURT

DATED:28/02/2025

ORDER

WP.No.7674 of 2017



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
FOR NON-PROSECUTION
WITHOUT COSTS**

PA
⑥ 21/3/25