

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NAGESH BHEEMAPAKA

WRIT PETITION NO: 25587 OF 2025

Between:

M/s.Datum Vilcom Private Limited, A Company registered under the Companies Act,1956, Reptd. By G.Ramesh Krishna S/o.G.Satyanaranya, Authorized Signatory, R/o.H.No.1-8-741, DVPL Towers, Prakash Nagar, Begumpet, Hyderabad - 500 016.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Reptd. by its Principal Secretary, information, Technology and Communications Department, Secretariat Building, Hyderabad.
2. The Southern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Ltd, Reptd. by its Chairman and Managing Director, Mint Compound, Hyderabad.
3. The State of Telangana, Reptd. by its Principal Secretary, Energy Department, Secretariat Building, Hyderabad.
4. The Union of India, Reptd. by the Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Telecommunications, Ministry of Commination and Information Technology, Sanchar Bhavan, 20 Ashok Road, New Delhi - 110 001.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the Respondent No.2 cutting and removing the cables of the Petitioner without any notice as arbitrary, unreasonable and violative of Principles of Nature Justice and consequently, direct the Respondent No.2 to stop cutting or removing the fiber optic cable of the Petitioner company laid on the poles of the 2nd Respondent

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 2nd Respondent to stay the cutting or removing the fiber optic cable of the Petitioner company laid on the poles of the 2nd Respondent as the Petitioner company had given an undertaking to pay fee/rent for use of the poles as per the Rules, pending disposal of the above Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI VEERA SAVAN CHAKRAVARTHY DARA

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: SRI N. SRIDHAR REDDY, SC

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: GP FOR ENERGY

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: DEPUTY SOLICITOR GENERAL OF INDIA

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NAGESH BHEEMAPAKA

WRIT PETITION No.25587 OF 2025

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri N.Sridhar Reddy, learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent No.2, and learned Government Pleader for Energy appearing for respondent Nos.3.

2. The main grievance of the petitioner in the present Writ Petition is to the action of the respondents, more particularly respondent No.2 in cutting and removing the petitioner's cables without any notice and consequently sought for a direction to the 2nd respondent to stop cutting or removing the fiber optic cables of the petitioner-Company laid on the poles of the 2nd respondent.

3. Evidently, the petitioner had approached the 2nd respondent by submitting a representation, dt.25.08.2025 (received on 26.08.2025), seeking permission for utilization of electric poles for Internet Services.

4. Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the 2nd respondent submits that the petitioner having approached the 2nd respondent by submitting a representation, dt.25.08.2025, received on 26.08.2025, without even waiting or giving the authorities breathing time to consider and pass orders on the said

representation, has approached this Court by filing the present Writ Petition on 26.08.2025.

5. In view of the above, this Court is of the view that the present Writ Petition as filed is premature.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

Consequently, miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-MOHD. ISMAIL
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri Veera Savan Chakravarthy Dara, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Information Technology, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
3. One CC to Sri N. Sridhar Reddy, SC[OPUC]
4. Two CC to The GP for Energy, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad[OUT]
5. One CC to Deputy Solicitor General of India[OPUC]
6. Two CD Copies

T J
GJP



HIGH COURT

DATED:29/08/2025

ORDER

WP.No.25587 of 2025



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS**

QMT
3/9/25