

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

**CWP No.970 of 2025 alongwith
connected matters**

Decided on: 28th February, 2025

1. CWP No.970 of 2025

Surinder Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

HRTC and others

.....Respondents

2. CWP No.971 of 2025

Bhupinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

HRTC and others

.....Respondents

3. CWP No.972 of 2025

Naresh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

HRTC and others

.....Respondents

4. CWP No.973 of 2025

Rashpal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. and others

.....Respondents

5. CWP No.974 of 2025

Purshotam Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

HRTC and others

.....Respondents

6. CWP No.975 of 2025

Vijay Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

HRTC and others

.....Respondents

7. CWP No.977 of 2025

Rashpal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

HRTC and others

.....Respondents

8. CWP No.978 of 2025

Jagdev Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

HRTC and others

.....Respondents

9. CWP No.979 of 2025

Purshotam Dass

.....Petitioner

Versus

HRTC and others

.....Respondents

10. CWP No.980 of 2025

Kirpal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

HRTC and others

.....Respondents

11. CWP No.982 of 2025

Hukam Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

HRTC and others

.....Respondents

12. CWP No.983 of 2025

Tarsem Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

HRTC and others

.....Respondents

13. CWP No.984 of 2025

Surinder Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

HRTC and others

.....Respondents

14. CWP No.985 of 2025

Bhagat Ram

.....Petitioner

Versus

HRTC and others

.....Respondents

15. CWP No.988 of 2025

Harnam Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

HRTC and others

.....Respondents

16. CWP No.989 of 2025

Desh Raj

.....Petitioner

Versus

HRTC and others

.....Respondents

17. CWP No.990 of 2025

Suresh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

HRTC and others

.....Respondents

Coram**Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua****Whether approved for reporting?¹**

For the Petitioners: Mr. H.R. Bhardwaj, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Vinod K. Gupta, Advocate.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

All these civil writ petitions, being interconnected, raising a common issue, are taken up together for decision. However, for the sake of convenience, facts/averments from CWP No.970 of 2025 shall be referred to.

2. Petitioner retired as Conductor from the service of respondent-Himachal Road Transport Corporation on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.05.2013. After about 12 years, he has instituted this writ petition seeking Grade Pay of Rs.3200/- w.e.f. 01.12.2011. No justification for the delay in instituting the petition has been accorded in the writ petition. Relief has been prayed for simply by pleading that the aforesaid grade pay has been released in favour of the Conductors of 'Punjab Roadways'. Adequate pleadings, grounds and documents in support of the relief claimed are absolutely wanting in every aspect.

¹Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

3. Confronted with above, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw these writ petitions with liberty to file afresh in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the writ petitions are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

February 28, 2025
Mukesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge