## IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.14015 of 2025

**Date of Decision: 30.08.2025** 

Sarla Devi ......Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and others ... Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? 1

For the Petitioner: Mr. Vikram Jeet Singh and Mr. Gurmeet

Bhardwaj, Advocates.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol, Mr. Vishal Panwar and Mr.

B.C.Verma, Additional Advocate Generals, with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate

General.

On the second se

## **Sandeep Sharma, Judge**(oral):

Learned counsel representing the petitioner, on instructions, states that the petitioner case is squarely covered by the judgment dated 01.11.2023 passed by Division Bench of this Court in CWPOA No.5536 of 2020 titled as Sanjay Kumar vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others alongwith connected matters and as such, she would be content and satisfied in case directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-2) having been filed by the petitioner, in a time bound manner.

<sup>1</sup>Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2

**2.** Mr. Vishal Panwar, learned Additional Advocate General,

while putting appearance on behalf of the respondents, fairly states

that he is not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of

the petitioner.

**3.** Consequently, in view of the above, this Court without

going into the merits of the case deems it fit to dispose of the present

petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the

pending representation (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner expeditiously,

preferably within a period of six weeks in light of Sanjay Kumar case

(supra). Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned,

while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an

opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass a speaking order

thereupon. Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file appropriate

proceedings in appropriate court of law, if she still remains aggrieved.

Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(Sandeep Sharma), Judge

August 30, 2025