

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
AT SHIMLA**

Cr.MP(M) No:2132 of 2024

Decided On : 28th March, 2025

Som Dutt

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh

...Respondent

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge

¹ *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioner : Mr. Kulwant Singh Gill,
Advocate.

For the respondent : Mr. Sidharth Jalta, Deputy
Advocate General.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge [Oral]

After hearing Learned Counsel for the petitioner, prayer is made not to continue with the instant bail petition for the reason that evidence of PWs stands concluded. *[Statement taken on record]*. Ordered accordingly.

2. At this stage, Learned Counsel for the petitioner, prays for a direction to Learned Trial Court to conclude the trial expeditiously. Such a direction *per se* cannot be ordered in bail proceedings. However, Learned Trial Court is free to consider the disposal of the

¹ *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes*

trial, subject to its docket, expeditiously, in accordance with law.

In view of the above statement made by Learned Counsel for the petitioner and facts as discussed hereinabove, the instant petition is disposed of, so as to pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

March 28, 2025
(Shivender)

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge