



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 29TH DAY OF APRIL, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N S SANJAY GOWDA

WRIT PETITION NO. 12863 OF 2025 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI.V. MUNIRAJU
S/O LATE VENKATARAYAPPA
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS
R/A WARD NO. 1, VENKATAGIRI KOTE,
CHINATAMANI TOWN,
CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT,
PIN CODE 563 125

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. V.K.NARAYANA SWAMY., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
VIDHANA SOUDHA, BENGALURU-560001.
2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT
CHIKKABALLAPURA - 563125
3. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT
CHIKKABALLAPURA - 563125

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. V.SHIVA REDDY., AGA)





THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS NO. 3/ DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TO RECONSIDER THE R.A. NO. 17/2025 (R.A. NO. 117/2024-25) FILED BY THE PETITIONER UNDER SECTION 136(3) OF THE KARNATAKA LAND REVENUE ACT AND THE RULES, IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW, ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N S SANJAY GOWDA

ORAL ORDER

1. It is the case of the petitioner that the revision that he had filed earlier before the Deputy Commissioner was disposed of on the ground that W.P.No.20048/2022 had been filed and there was an order of *status quo* and in W.P.No.347/2025, this Court allowed the writ petition and set aside the endorsement issued by the Deputy Commissioner and directed the Deputy Commissioner to decide the appeal pending in R.A.No.117/2024 in accordance with law after notifying all interested parties.



2. It is contended that the Deputy Commissioner pursuant to the said order initiated proceedings, but has not considered the request of the petitioner for grant of an interim order.
3. It is pointed out that in W.P.No.20048/2022, the petitioner had the benefit of an order *status quo* and, despite bringing it to the notice of Deputy Commissioner, he has not considered the grant of an interim order.
4. In that view of the matter, the Deputy Commissioner is directed to consider the request of the petitioner for grant of an interim order within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.
5. The Deputy Commissioner shall also take into consideration the fact that an interim order of *status quo* was granted in W.P.No.20048/2022.
6. This writ petition is accordingly ***disposed of***.



7. In view of the disposal of the petition, all pending interlocutory applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**SD/-
(N S SANJAY GOWDA)
JUDGE**

GSR
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 23