



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA
PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3524]

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO
KUNCHEAM**

FIRST APPEAL NO: 130/2011

Between:

1. AKULA JYOTHI,, W/O. GAJARAJ, HOUSEWIFE, R/O.
ASHOK NAGAR, NAWALAK GARDENS, NELLORE, SPSR
NELLORE DISTRICT.

...APPELLANT

AND

1. MEDA BABY REDDY, S/O. LATE MASTANREDDY,
AGRICULTURIST, R/O. KOTHAKALUVA CENTRE,
NELLORE, NELLORE RURAL MANDAL, SPSR NELLORE
DISTRICT.

2. MEDA BUJJAMMA, WIDOW OF MASTANREDDY,
LANDOWNER, R/O. KOTHAKALUVA CENTRE, NELLORE,
NELLORE RURAL MANDAL, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT.

3. MEDA BHARAT REDDY, S/O. BABY REDDY, WORKING
IN BUSINESS PROCESS OUTSOURCING AT OPTIMUS
GLOBAL SERVICES LTD. PRINCE INFO PARK, A-BLOCK,
81/B-2ND MAIN ROAD, AMABTTURU INDUSTRIAL
ESTATE, CHENNAI - 600 058

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Appellant:

1. O MANOHER REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.M/S INDUS LAW FIRM

The Court made the following:

JUDGMENT: *(per Hon'ble Sri Justice Ravi Nath Tilhari)*

On 26.03.2025, the following order was passed:

“At the request made on behalf of the appellant’s counsel, list after two weeks in the cause list.”

2. On 24.04.2025, the following order was passed:

“ No representation for the appellant.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents is present.

3. Post after Summer Vacation, 2025, finally.”

3. Today also, there is no representation for the appellant.

4. Sri K.Guna Sekhar, learned counsel appears for the respondents’ counsel.

5. The Appeal Suit is dismissed for want of prosecution.

6. At this stage, Sri Devi Prasanna Kumar, learned counsel appears on behalf of Sri O.Manohar Reddy, learned counsel for the appellant and seeks adjournment.

7. No sufficient cause or justification shown for adjournment.

The prayer is rejected also considering the order dated 24.04.2025, directing listing of the appeal ‘finally’ and as the

appeal is of the year 2011, an old one and listed in the weekly cause list.

8. No order as to costs.

9. As a sequel thereto, interlocutory applications, if any pending, shall also stand closed.

RAVI NATH TILHARI, J

MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM, J

Pab