



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI



SATURDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF AUGUST.  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NOS: 2121 OF 2025 AND 2125 OF 2025

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 2121 OF 2025:

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, aggrieved by returning of the unnumbered I.A.No.\_\_/ 2023 (GR No.4765/2023) in LGOP.No.414 of 2017 on the file of the court of the Principal District Judge-cum-Special Tribunal for Land Grabbing Act, At Srikakulam.

**Between:**

1. Suresh Kumar Panigrahi, S/o. Tirupathi Panigrahi, Hindu, Age 39 Years, residing at Block No.2, Enclave, Near Maharshi College, Bhuvaneswar, Odisha State.
2. Vignana Bharathi Charitable Trust, Represented by its Managing Trustee, Suresh Kumar Panigrahi, S/o Tirupathi Panigrahi, Hindu, Age 39 Years, residing at Block No.2, Enclave, Near Maharshi College, Bhuvaneswar, Odisha State.

...Petitioners/Petitioners/Respondent Nos. 1 & 2

AND

1. Gruhalakshmi Finance, Srikakulam, a firm rep. by its Managing Partner, Voona Sarveswararao, S/o. late Satyanarayana, Age about 60

Years, Hindu, Business, R/o. Door No. 15-3-15, Near town hall, Srikakulam.

2. Voona Sarveswararao, S/o late Satyanarayana, Aged about 60 Years, Hindu, Business, R/o. Door No. 15-3-15, Near town hall, Srikakulam.

**...Respondents/**

**Respondents/Petitioners**

3. Kantu Ammayi, W/o. late Suribabu, Hindu, Aged 61 Years, R/o. Kella Street, Door No.6-9/1A, Srikakulam town, Srikakulam.
4. Kantu Srinivasa Rao, S/o. late Suribabu, Hindu, Aged 36years, R/o. Kella Street, Door No.6-9/1A, Srikakulam town, Srikakulam.
5. Paluri Adilakshmi, W/o. Venkata Lakshmi Prasada Rao, Hindu, Age 39 Years, R/o. Door No.2-117A, Main Road, Vavilavalasa Village, Regidi Amudalavalasa Mandal, Srikakulam District.
6. Srikakulam Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Commissioner

**...Respondents/Respondents Nos. 3 to 6**

**(Respondent No. 3 to 6 are not necessary parties to this CRP)**

**Counsel for the Petitioners: Sri V.Sudhakar Reddy, Advocate**

**Counsel for the Respondents: --**

APHC010393682025



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI**

**CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 2125 OF 2025:**

Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, aggrieved by returning of the unnumbered I.A.No.\_\_\_\_/2023 (GR No.4765/2023) in LGOP.No.414 of 2017 on the file of the court of the Principal District Judge-cum-Special Tribunal for Land Grabbing Act, At Srikakulam.

**Between:**

1. Suresh Kumar Panigrahi, S/o. Tirupathi Panigrahi, Hindu, Age 39 Years, residing at Block No.2, Enclave, Near Maharshi College, Bhuvaneswar, Odisha State.
2. Vignana Bharathi Charitable Trust, Represented by its Managing Trustee, Suresh Kumar Panigrahi, S/o Tirupathi Panigrahi, Hindu, Age 39 Years, residing at Block No.2, Enclave, Near Maharshi College, Bhuvaneswar, Odisha State.

**...Petitioners/Petitioners/  
Respondent Nos. 1 & 2**

**AND**

1. Gruhalakshmi Finance, Srikakulam, a firm rep. by its Managing Partner, Voona Sarveswararao, S/o. late Satyanarayana, Age about 60 Years, Hindu, Business, R/o. Door No. 15-3-15, Near town hall, Srikakulam.
2. Voona Sarveswararao, S/o late Satyanarayana, Aged about 60 Years, Hindu, Business, R/o. Door No. 15-3-15, Near town hall, Srikakulam.

**...Respondents/**

**Respondents/Petitioners**

3. Kantu Ammayi, W/o. late Suribabu, Hindu, Aged 61 Years, R/o. Kella Street, Door No.6-9/1A, Srikakulam town, Srikakulam.
4. Kantu Srinivasa Rao, S/o. late Suribabu, Hindu, Aged 36years, R/o. Kella Street, Door No.6-9/1A, Srikakulam town, Srikakulam.
5. Paluri Adilakshmi, W/o. Venkata Lakshmi Prasada Rao, Hindu, Age 39 Years, R/o. Door No.2-117A, Main Road, Vavilavalasa Village, Regidi Amudalavalasa Mandal, Srikakulam District.
6. Srikakulam Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Commissioner

**...Respondents/Respondents Nos. 3 to 6**



**(Respondent No. 3 to 6 are not necessary parties to this CRP)**

**Counsel for the Petitioners: Sri V.Sudhakar Reddy, Advocate**

**Counsel for the Respondents: --**

**The Court made the following Common Order:**



APHC010393702025



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**[3331]**

**SATURDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF AUGUST  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI**

**CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 2121 and 2125 / 2025**

**C.R.P.No.2121 of 2025**

**Between:**

1. SURESH KUMAR PANIGRAHI, S/O TIRUPATHI PANIGRAHI, HINDU, AGE 39 YEARS, RESIDING AT BLOCK NO.2, ENCLAVE, NEAR MAHARSHI COLLEGE, BHUVANESWAR, ODISHA STATE.
2. VIGNANA BHARATHI CHARITABLE TRUST,, REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING TRUSTEE, SURESH KUMAR PANIGRAHI, S/O TIRUPATHI PANIGRAHI, HINDU, AGE 39 YEARS, RESIDING AT BLOCK NO.2, ENCLAVE, NEAR MAHARSHI COLLEGE, BHUVANESWAR, ODISHA STATE.

**...PETITIONER(S)**

**AND**

1. GRUHALAKSHMI FINANCE, SRIKAKULAM, A FIRM REP. BY ITS MANAGING PARTNER, VOONA SARVESWARARAO, S/O LATE SATYANARAYANA, AGE ABOUT 60 YEARS, HINDU, BUSINESS, R/O DOOR NO. 15-3-15, NEAR TOWN HALL, SRIKAKULAM.
2. VOONA SARVESWARARAO, S/O LATE SATYANARAYANA, AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS, HINDU, BUSINESS, R/O. DOOR NO. 15-3-15, NEAR TOWN HALL, SRIKAKULAM.
3. KNATU AMMAYI, W/O. LATE SURIBABU, HINDU, AGED 61 YEARS, R/O. KELLA STREET, DOOR NO.6-9/ LA SRIKAKULAM TOWN, SRIKAKULAM.
4. KANTU SRINIVASA RAO, S/O. LATE SURIBABU, HINDU, AGED 36 YEARS, REST DO.

5. PALURI ADILAKSHMI, W/O. VENKATA LAKSHMI PRASADA RAO HINDU, AGE 39 YEARS, R/O. DOOR NO.2-117A, MAIN ROAD, VAVILAVALASA VILLAGE, REGIDI AMUDALAVALASA MANDAL, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.

6. SRIKAKULAM MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, REP. BY ITS COMMISSIONER

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein, the High Court may be pleased to petitioners beg to present this Memorandum of Civil Revision Petition aggrieved by returning of the LA. No. 2023 (GR No.4765/2023) in LGOP.No.414/2017 on the file of the court of the Principal District Judge-cum-Special Tribunal for Land Grabbing Act, At Srikakulam,

**Counsel for the Petitioner(S):**

1. V SUDHAKAR REDDY

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1.

C.R.P.No. 2125/2025

**Between:**

1. SURESH KUMAR PANIGRAHI, S/O TIRUPATHI PANIGRAHI, HINDU, AGE 39 YEARS, RESIDING AT BLOCK NO.2, ENCLAVE, NEAR MAHARSHI COLLEGE, BHUVANESWAR, ODISHA STATE.

2. VIGNANA BHARATHI CHARITABLE TRUST,, REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING TRUSTEE, SURESH KUMAR PANIGRAHI, S/O TIRUPATHI PANIGRAHI, HINDU, AGE 39 YEARS, RESIDING AT BLOCK NO.2, ENCLAVE, NEAR MAHARSHI COLLEGE, BHUVANESWAR, ODISHA STATE.

**...PETITIONER(S)**

**AND**

1. GRUHALAKSHMI FINANCE, SRIKAKULAM, A FIRM REP. BY ITS MANAGING PARTNER, VOONA SARVESWARARAO, S/O LATE SATYANARAYANA, AGE ABOUT 60 YEARS, HINDU, BUSINESS, R/O DOOR NO. 15-3-15, NEAR TOWN HALL, SRIKAKULAM.

2. VOONA SARVESWARARAO, S/O LATE SATYANARAYANA, AGED

ABOUT 60 YEARS, HINDU, BUSINESS, R/O. DOOR NO.15-3-15, NEAR TOWN HALL, SRIKAKULAM.

3. KNATU AMMAYI, W/O. LATE SURIBABU, HINDU, AGED 61 YEARS, R/O. KELLA STREET, DOOR NO.6-9/LA, SRIKAKULAM TOWN, SRIKAKULAM.
4. KANTU SRINIVASA RAO, , S/O. LATE SURIBABU, HINDU, AGED 36 YEARS, REST DO.
5. PALURI ADILAKSHMI, W/O. VENKATA LAKSHMI PRASADA RAO, HINDU, AGE 39 YEARS, R/O. DOOR NO.2-117A, MAIN ROAD, VAVILAVALASA VILLAGE, REGIDI AMUDALAVALASA MANDAL, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.
6. SRIKAKULAM MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, REP. BY ITS COMMISSIONER

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein, the High Court may be pleased to be to present this Memorandum of Civil Revision Petition aggrieved by returning of the IA No /2023 (GR No 4765/2023) in LGOP.No.414/2017 on the file of the court of the Principal District Judge-cum-Special Tribunal for Land Grabbing Act, At Srikakulam,

**Counsel for the Petitioner(S):**

1. V SUDHAKAR REDDY

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

- 1.

**The Court made the following:**

**COMMON ORDER**

The respondents in LGOP No.414 of 2017 filed the above Civil Revision Petitions.

2. **C.R.P.No.2121 of 2025** is filed complaining of the action of the office of District Judge -cum- Special Tribunal under Land Grabbing Act, Srikakulam District, in repeatedly returning interlocutory application (G.R.No.4765 of 2023, dated 10.09.2023) filed under Order IX Rule 13 CPC to set aside the ex parte



decree dated 24.02.2020 passed in LGOP No.414 of 2017, without placing the same before the Bench, despite request dated 04.12.2024.

3. **C.R.P.No.2125 of 2025** is filed complaining of the action of the office of District Judge –cum- Special Tribunal under Land Grabbing Act, Srikakulam District, in repeatedly returning interlocutory application (G.R.No.4765 of 2023) filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act to condone the delay of 1294 days in filing petition to set aside the ex-parte decree dated 24.02.2020 passed in LGOP No.414 of 2017.

4. Heard Sri V. Sudhakar Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners filed the aforementioned interlocutory applications vide G.R.No.4765 of 2023, dated 11.09.2023, under Order IX Rule 13 CPC and Section 5 of the Limitation Act, respectively. He would submit that the application filed under Order IX Rule 13 was initially returned on 19.09.2023 and represented on 13.03.2024. Thereafter, the application was returned on 22.03.2024, 18.06.2024, and 17.08.2024. The petitioners resubmitted the same on 24.04.2024, 14.08.2024 and 16.10.2024, respectively. The application was again returned on 26.10.2024 and resubmitted on 01.11.2024, requesting the office to place the matter before the Bench. However, the same was returned without being placed before the Bench. The petitioners resubmitted the application on 04.12.2024, requesting the office to place the matter before the Bench, despite which it was again returned on 09.12.2024.

6. Learned counsel would submit that since the petition filed under Order IX Rule 13 was returned, the petition filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act was also returned. Learned counsel would submit that despite the requests of the petitioners to place the applications before the Bench, the office has been returning the applications.



7. Thus, as seen from the material, the office of the trial Court has returned the application filed under Order IX Rule 13 CPC, on 09.12.2024. Thereafter, the petitioners, without representing the applications before the trial Court, filed the above civil revision petitions on 29.07.2024. When the Court pointed out the delay in representation, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners will make a necessary application vis-a-vis, delay in representing the applications.

8. Given the above facts and circumstances of the case, without going into the merits of the matter, **C.R.P.No.2121 of 2025** is disposed of, giving liberty to the petitioners to make a necessary application to represent the interlocutory application, by complying with the objections/return endorsement dated 09.12.2024. Upon filing such an application, if the office is not satisfied, it shall place the interlocutory application before the learned Presiding Officer for passing a speaking order.

9. **C.R.P.No.2125 of 2025** is disposed of in terms of the order in **C.R.P.No.2121 of 2025**, giving liberty to the petitioners to file an appropriate application representing the interlocutory application before the trial Court.

10. Registry is directed to return the original interlocutory applications (G.R.) No.4765 of 2023, dated 11.09.2023 and 11.09.2024 respectively, filed along with the above Civil Revision Petitions, to the petitioners, enabling them to represent the same before the trial Court.

There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.


**Sd/- K TATA RAO**  
**DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

**//TRUE COPY//**

*Blw?*  
**SECTION OFFICER**

To,

1. The Principal District Judge-cum-Special Tribunal for Land Grabbing Act, At Srikakulam, Srikakulam District.

- 
2. One CC to Sri V.Sudhakar Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
  3. The Section Officer, CRP Section, High Court of A.P.
  4. The Section Officer, New Filing Section, High Court of A.P.
  5. **Two CD Copies**

**GPC**


sree

**HIGH COURT**

**DATED: 30/08/2025**

**COMMON ORDER**

**CRP NOS. 2121 OF 2025 AND 2125 OF 2025**



**DISPOSING OF THESE CIVIL REVISION  
PETITIONS WITHOUT COSTS**