



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)



THURSDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 19405 OF 2025

Between:

Maligireddy Anitha Rani, W/o. Hah Krishna Reddy Aged about 38 years, Occ: House Wife, C/o. Kauthubham Nilayam, Flat No. 1, 1st Floor, Beside Thavudu Factory, Ippatam Road, Mangalagiri, Guntur District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary to Government, Home Department Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Bapatla District at Bapatla.
3. The Divisional Superintendent of Police, Bapatla Division, Bapatla District.
4. The Inspector of Police, Santhamaguluru Police Station Santhamaguluru, Bapatla District.
5. The Station House Officer, Santhamaguluru Police Station, Santhamaguluru, Bapatla District.
6. The Tahsildhar, Santhamaguluru Mandal Bapatla District.
7. Arvapalli Venkata Narayana, , S/o. Subrahmanyam Aged 61 years, Occ: Business R/o. Santhamaguluru Village and Mandal, Bapatla District.
8. Ganji Sridhar, S/o. Ramalingaiah, Aged 52 years, Occ: RMP Doctor, R/o. Santhamaguluru Village and Mandal, Bapatla District.

9. Karasani Venkata Koti Reddy Chinnodu, Aged 54 years, Occ: Cultivation R/o. Santhamaguluru Village and Mandal, Bapatla District.
10. Gaddam Srinivasa Reddy, S/o. Rangareddy, Aged 45 years, Occ: Cultivation, R/o. Santhamaguluru Village and Mandal, Bapatla District.
11. Chevuri Vasu Reddy, S/o. Rangareddy, Aged 53 years, Occ: Business, R/o. Santhamaguluru Village and Mandal, Bapatla District.
12. Arvapalli Ratnakar Rao, S/o. Venkateswara Rao, Aged 67 years, Occ: Business, R/o. Santhamaguluru Village and Mandal, Bapatla District.
13. Arvapalli Rama Rao, , S/o. Ratnakar Rao, Aged 42 years, Occ: Cultivation, R/o. Santhamaguluru Village and Mandal, Bapatla District.
14. Arvapalli Praveen Kumar, S/o. Venkata Narayana Aged 35 years, Occ: Business, R/o. Santhamaguluru Village and Mandal Bapatla District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of respondents 4 to 5 at the instance of respondents 7 to 14 in frequently interfering in petitioner's possession and enjoyment and insisting her to vacate her schedule property is situated in Santhamaguluru Village in an extent of Ac. 1.50 cents of vacant site/dry land bearing Sy.No. 171/1 and later sub divided as 171/1A9A, and having acquired the same vide document No.6120 of 2025 dated 07.04.2025, situated in Santhamaguluru Village and Mandal, Bapatla District. While it is so some civil disputes arose petitioner and respondents 7 and 14, as such, petitioner filed a suit in O.S.No.117 of 2025 as claiming relief of permanent injunction and the same is pending for adjudication on the file of Learned Court of the Principal Junior Civil Judge at Addanki and the Hon'ble court was pleased to pass an Interim Injunction Order dated 22.04.2025 in I.A.No.251 of 2025 in O.S.No.117/2025 in petitioner favour and the same is still in force., as illegal, irregular, irrational and offends Articles 14 and 21 of Constitution of

India and consequently direct the respondents 2 to 5 not to interfere in any manner with petitioner possession over her aforesaid property.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents 2 to 5 not to interfere in any manner with petitioner's possession over her property in an extent of Ac. 1.50 cents of vacant site/dry land bearing Sy.No. 171/1 and later sub divided as 171/1A9A, and having acquired the same vide document No.6120 of 2025 dated 07.04.2025, situated in Santhamaguluru Village and Mandal, Bapatla District nor insist her to deliver the possession thereof to the custody of respondents 7 to 14, pending disposal of the main Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI KISHORE KUMAR KATARI

**Counsel for the Respondent No's. 1 to 5:SRI V FAROOK,
AGP FOR HOME**

Counsel for the Respondent No's. 6 to 14: ---

The Court made the following order:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
 AT AMARAVATI
 (Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3396]

THURSDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF JULY
 TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 19405/2025

Between:

1. MALIGIREDDY ANITHA RANI, W/O. HAH KRISHNA REDDY AGED
 ABOUT 38 YEARS, OCC HOUSE WIFE, C/O. KAUTHUBHAM
 NILAYAM, FLAT NO. 1,1ST FLOOR, BESIDE THAVUDU FACTORY,
 IPPATAM ROAD, MANGALAGIRI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
 SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, HOME DEPARTMENT
 SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, BAPATLA DISTRICT AT
 BAPATLA.
3. THE DIVISIONAL SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, BAPATLA
 DIVISION, BAPATLA DISTRICT.
4. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, SANTHAMAGULURU POLICE
 STATION SANTHAMAGULURU, BAPATLA DISTRICT.
5. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, SANTHAMAGULURU POLICE
 STATION, SANTHAMAGULURU, BAPATLA DISTRICT.
6. THE TAHSILDHAR, SANTHAMAGULURU MANDAL BAPATLA
 DISTRICT.
7. ARVAPALLI VENKATA NARAYANA, , S/O. SUBRAHMANYAM
 AGED 61 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS R/O. SANTHAMAGULURU

VILLAGE AND MANDAL, BAPATLA DISTRICT.

8. GANJI SRIDHAR, S/O. RAMALINGAIAH, AGED 52 YEARS, OCC RMP DOCTOR, R/O. SANTHAMAGULURU VILLAGE AND MANDAL, BAPATLA DISTRICT.
9. KARASANI VENKATA KOTI REDDY CHINNODU, AGED 54 YEARS, OCC CULTIVATION R/O. SANTHAMAGULURU VILLAGE AND MANDAL, BAPATLA DISTRICT.
10. GADDAM SRINIVASA REDDY, S/O. RANGAREDDY, AGED 45 YEARS, OCC CULTIVATION, R/O. SANTHAMAGULURU VILLAGE AND MANDAL, BAPATLA DISTRICT.
11. CHEVURI VASU REDDY, S/O. RANGAREDDY, AGED 53 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, R/O. SANTHAMAGULURU VILLAGE AND MANDAL, BAPATLA DISTRICT.
12. ARVAPALLI RATNAKAR RAO, S/O. VENKATESWARA RAO, AGED 67 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, R/O. SANTHAMAGULURU VILLAGE AND MANDAL, BAPATLA DISTRICT.
13. ARVAPALLI RAMA RAO, , S/O. RATNAKAR RAO, AGED 42 YEARS, OCC CULTIVATION, R/O. SANTHAMAGULURU VILLAGE AND MANDAL, BAPATLA DISTRICT.
14. ARVAPALLI PRAVEEN KUMAR, S/O. VENKATA NARAYANA AGED 35 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, R/O. SANTHAMAGULURU VILLAGE AND MANDAL BAPATLA DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. KISHORE KUMAR KATARI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer:

“....to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of respondents 4 to 5 at the instance of respondents 7 to 14 in frequently interfering in petitioner's possession and enjoyment and insisting her to vacate her schedule property is situated in Santhamaguluru Village in an extent of Ac. 1.50 cents of vacant site/dry land bearing Sy.No. 171/1 and later sub divided as 171/1A9A, and having acquired the same vide document No.6120 of 2025 dated 07.04.2025, situated in Santhamaguluru Village and Mandal, Bapatla District. While it is so some civil disputes arose petitioner and respondents 7 and 14, as such, petitioner filed a suit in O.S.No.117 of 2025 as claiming relief of permanent injunction and the same is pending for adjudication on the file of Learned Court of the Principal Junior Civil Judge at Addanki and the Hon'ble court was pleased to pass an Interim Injunction Order dated 22.04.2025 in I.A.No.251 of 2025 in O.S.No.117/2025 in petitioner favour and the same is still in force., as illegal, irregular, irrational and offends Articles 14 and 21 of Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents 2 to 5 not to interfere in any manner with petitioner possession over her aforesaid property and pass....”

2. When the matter was taken up for hearing, Sri V. Farook, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on written instructions, would submit that the matter is purely of a civil nature and the police are not interfering in the civil dispute.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue has placed written instructions on record, received from the Tahsildar, to the effect that the Tahsildar, Santhamagulur, or his staff never interfered with the subject land.

4. Recording the submissions, this writ petition is disposed of. However, the police are directed not to interfere in the civil dispute. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/- K. TATA RAO
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,


1. The Principal Secretary to Government, Home Department, State of Andhra Pradesh, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Bapatla District at Bapatla.
3. The Divisional Superintendent of Police, Bapatla Division, Bapatla District.
4. The Inspector of Police, Santhamaguluru Police Station Santhamaguluru, Bapatla District.
5. The Station House Officer, Santhamaguluru Police Station, Santhamaguluru, Bapatla District.
6. The Tahsildhar, Santhamaguluru Mandal Bapatla District.
7. One CC to SRI. KISHORE KUMAR KATARI, Advocate [OPUC]
8. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court of Andhra Pradesh [OUT]
9. Three CD Copies

PRK

HIGH COURT

DATED:31/07/2025

ORDER
WP NO. 19405 OF 2025



DISPOSING OF THE WP, WITHOUT COSTS