



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3332]

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

WRIT PETITION NO: 18907/2003

**Between:**

1. T.T.D. SERVICE ORGANISATION'S FEDERATION, REP. BY ITS  
GENERAL SECRETARY, J. V. NARASIMHA MURTHY S/O J.  
NARASIMHA MURTHY R/O TIRUPATHI, CHITTOOR DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1. TIRUMALA TIRUPATHI DEVASTHANAMS, REP. BY ITS EXECUTIVE  
OFFICER, TIRUPATHI.  
2. DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER, TIRUMALA TIRUPATHI  
DEVASTHANAMS, TIRUPATHI

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the notice issued by the 2nd respondent in Roc.No. 1/DM/DFO/2000 dt 1-9-2003 as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents to continue the Forest Casual Labourers in the T.T.D Forest Department and pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2003(WPMP 23669 OF 2003

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the notice issued by the 2nd respondent in Roc.No. 1/DM/DFO/2000 dt. 1-9-2003, pending disposal of the above W.P.

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1.P GOVIND REDDY

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1..

2.CH MURALI KRISHNA (SC FOR TTD)

**The Court made the following:**

**ORDER**

Despite listing the matter under the caption "for dismissal", there is no representation for the petitioner. This shows that the petitioner is not interested to prosecute the matter.

In view of the above, this writ petition is dismissed for non prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

**JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI**

RR