



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA
PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3524]

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO
KUNCHEAM**

FIRST APPEAL NO: 276/2011

Between:

1. RELIANCE INDUSTRIES LIMITED, A COMPANY
REGISTERED UNDER THE COMPANIES ACT., REP., BY
MR. VENKATA SIVARAMAN, VICE -PRESIDENT, III
FLOOR, MAKER CHAMBER IV, 222, NARIMAN POINT
MUMBAI - 400 021.

...APPELLANT

AND

1. ADUSUMILLI SUSEELA DEVI, W/O. LATE ANJANEYULU
HOUSEWIFE R/O. VIJAYAWADA, (REP., BY HER POWER
OF ATTORNEY HOLDER, DR. C.N. RAO, RETD.,
PRINCIPAL CHIEF CONSERVATOR, FORESTS) 9-10-3,
GIDDY LANE, GANDHI NAGAR,

2. ADUSUMILLI KRISHNA KISHORE, S/O. LATE
ANJANEYULU EMPLOYEE R/O. MILWAKNEE,
WISCONSIN, USA. (REP., BY POWER OF ATTORNEY
HOLDER DR C.N.RAO, RETIRED PRINCIPAL CHIEF
CONSERVATOR, FORESTS, 9-10-3, GIDDY LANE,

3. ADUSUMILLI PRAMANA, W/O. KRISHNA KISHORE
HOUSEWIFE R/O. MILWAKNEE, WISCONSIN, USA. (REP., BY POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER DR C.N.RAO, RETIRED PRINCIPAL CHIEF CONSERVATOR, FORESTS, 9-10-3, GIDDY LANE,

4. ADUSUMILLI ARIANNA TEJ, D/O. KRISHNA KISHORE
MINOR REP., BY FATHER I.E., MR. ADUSUMILLI

KRISHNA KISHORE) R/O. MILWAKNEE, WISCONSIN, USA. (REP., BY POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER DR C.N.RAO, RETIRED PRINCIPAL CHIEF CONSERVATOR,FORESTS, 9-10-3, GIDDY LANE,

5.PENUMATSA VISWANADHA RAJU, S/O. LAKSHMIPATHI RAJU CULTIVATION, R/O. RANGANAYAKAPURAM, TALLAREVU MANDAL, KDMC.,

6.PENUMATSA ANANDA GOPALAKRISHNAMRAJU, S/O. VISWANADHA RAJU CULTIVATION, R/O. RANGANAYAKAPURA, TALLAREVU MANDAL, KDMC.,

7.PENUMATSA VENKATA LAXMIPATHI RAJU, S/O. VISWANADHA RAJU CULTIVATION, R/O. RANGANAYAKAPURAM, TALLAREVU MANDAL,

8.PENUMATSA VENKATA SURYANARAYANARAJU, S/O. VISWANADHA RAJU CULTIVATION, R/O. RANGANAYAKAPURAM TALLAREVU MANDAL.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Appellant:

1.P HEMACHANDRA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.B NALIN KUMAR

2.N V ANANTHA KRISHNA

The Court made the following:

JUDGMENT: *(per Hon'ble Sri Justice Ravi Nath Tilhari)*

On 26.03.2025, the following order was passed:

“ Request has been made on behalf of the appellant's counsel for adjournment, to prepare the paper book.

2. List after two weeks in the Weekly Cause List.

3. No further adjournment will be granted as the matter pertains to the year 2011."

2. Sri N.Manikanta, learned counsel appearing for the appellant's counsel, prays for adjournment to advance arguments.

3. Sri G.Satya Naidu, learned counsel appears for the respondents.

4. We do not find any reason to adjourn the matter as no plausible reason is assigned and particularly, when on 26.03.2025, the order was passed that no further adjournment will be granted as the matter pertains to the year 2011.

5. The Appeal Suit is dismissed for want of prosecution. No order as to costs.

6. As a sequel thereto, interlocutory applications, if any pending, shall also stand closed.

RAVI NATH TILHARI, J

MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM, J

Pab