

Harish

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1052 OF 2025

Kaustubh Krushnrao Sarnobat	...Applicant
Versus	
State Of Maharashtra	...Respondent

HARISH
VITHAL
CHAUDHARI

Digitally signed
by HARISH
VITHAL
CHAUDHARI
Date:
2025.10.07
11:15:02 +0530

Mr. Priyal Sarda a/w Mr. Onkar Bajaj, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. S. H. Yadav, APP, for the Respondent - State.
Mr. Vishal Bamne a/w Mr. Ashitosh Patil, Mr. Suyog Bondarde and Mr. Pratik Pisal, Advocate for Intervenor.
Mr. Vijay Murlidhar, Islampur Police Station – Present.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 30th SEPTEMBER, 2025

P.C.:

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned APP for the respondent-State and learned counsel for the intervenor.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is behind bar more than three years. Yet trial is not concluded. Earlier this Court has given liberty to the applicant to file a fresh bail application after one year. The said applications were filed for two times, but the trial is not

concluded. Hence, requested to allow the application. He, on instructions, alternatively submits that if the trial is not concluded within a period of four months, the applicant be permitted to file a fresh bail application.

3. Learned counsel for the intervenor submits that, the trial is delayed due to behavior of the applicant as he does not want to proceed with the trial and he retracts from the trial.

4. Learned APP submits that six witnesses have been examined and only four to five witnesses are yet to be examined.

5. Considering the submissions of all the learned counsel, the application is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh bail application if the trial is not concluded within a period of four months.

6. Applicant shall co-operate with the trial Court to dispose of the trial as early as possible.

7. Bail Application is dismissed and disposed off.

[SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.]