



2025:CGHC:28937

NAFR

#### HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

#### WPS No. 6616 of 2025

- **1** Khemuram Sahu S/o Late Manohar Sahu Aged About 78 Years R/o Village Purena, Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
- 2 Girdhar Sahu S/o Triloki Sahu Aged About 68 Years R/o Village Banarasi, Aarang Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
- **3** Chhabiram Sahu S/o Buddhuram Sahu Aged About 66 Years R/o Village Banrasi, Aarang Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
- **4** Nakulram Sahu S/o Kewalram Sahu Aged About 69 Years R/o Village Funuhar, Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
- **5** Chhabiram Satnami S/o Madho Gilhare Aged About 68 Years R/o Village Banrasi, Aarang Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
- **6 -** Shantabai W/o Tularam Aged About 64 Years R/o Village Temari, Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
- 7 Gangabai W/o Chhannulal Narang Aged About 64 Years R/o Village Temri, Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
- 8 Gayabai W/o Budhram Yadav Aged About 68 Years R/o Village Temri, Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

... Petitioners

## versus

**1** - State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, General Administrative Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

- **2** State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Water Resources Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
- **3 -** State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Finance Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
- **4 -** Chief Engineer Mahanadi Priyojana, Water Resources Department, Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
- **5** Superintendent Engineer Mahanadi Priyojana, Water Resources Department, Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
- **6** Executive Engineer Division -1 Water Resources Department, Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

## ... Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Bharat Lal Dembra, Advocate.

For State : Mr. Suyash Dhar Badgaiya, Deputy Govt. Advocate.

# Hon'ble Shri Justice Ravindra Kumar Agrawal Order on Board

### 30-06-2025

1. Learned counsel for petitioners submits that the petitioners stood retired as Work Charged/Contingency Paid Employee. The petitioners were entitled for leave encashment but till date the respondents have not extended the benefit of leave encashment. He stated that an identical issue has already been decided by this Court in Writ Petition (S) No.3870 of 2021 (Faguvaram Patel & Ors. Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & Others) on 30.09.2022. In the light of Faguvaram (supra) the petitioners have raised identical issue which may be allowed. He submits that at this stage the petitioners may be permitted to submit detailed representation(s) before the respondents/competent authority, who, in turn, may be directed to consider and decide the same expeditiously within specific period as fixed by this Court.

- 2. Learned State counsel submits that as the petitioners are not pressing this petition on merits and are only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioners, at this stage the State is having no objection.
- 3. I have heard learned counsel for parties and perused the documents available on record.
- 4. Taking into consideration the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits this petition is disposed of in the light of judgment passed in Faguvaram Patel (Supra) reserving liberty to the petitioners to make detailed representation(s) before the concerned respondent/competent authority. In the event, the petitioners submit representation(s), the same shall be considered and decided in accordance with the relevant rules and law and after verification of the service records of the petitioners expeditiously preferably within the period of 'three months' from the date of receipt of the such representation(s) keeping in mind the decision passed in Faguvaram Patel (Supra).
- Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations and directions.

Sd/-(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal) **Judge** 

Aadil