



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WP227 No. 359 of 2025

- 1 - Parmanand S/o Late Ramdayal Aged About 73 Years R/o Village Bilaigarh (Pathan),
Tahsil - Dabhra, District - Sakti Chhattisgarh (Defendant No. 1)
- 2 - Manoj S/o Late Ramdayal Aged About 43 Years R/o Village Bilaigarh (Pathan), Tahsil -
Dabhra, District - Sakti Chhattisgarh (Defendant No. 2)
- 3 - Rajkumar S/o Late Ramdayal Aged About 39 Years R/o Village Bilaigarh (Pathan),
Tahsil - Dabhra, District - Sakti Chhattisgarh (Defendant No. 3)

... **Petitioner(s)**

versus

- 1 - Kushal Chand S/o Late Ramdayal Aged About 79 Years R/o Village Bilaigarh (Pathan),
Tahsil - Dabhra, District - Sakti Chhattisgarh
- 2 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Collector Sakti District Sakti Chhattisgarh (Defendant
No. 4)

... **Respondent(s)**

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. F. S. Khare, Advocate
For Respondent No. 1.	:	Mr. Shashwat Mishra, Advocate
For State/Respondent		Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Agrawal, PL
No. 2		

Hon’ble Shri Justice Sachin Singh Rajput

Order on Board

06/10/2025

After arguing for learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
inadvertently in the appellate Court judgment, the fathers name of

petitioner Nos. 2 & 3 has wrongly been mentioned as Ramdayal whereas their father is Parmanand – petitioner No. 1. He further submits that this petition may be disposed of directing the learned trial Court to expedite the trial.

2. Not opposed by the other parties.

3. In view of above, this writ petition is disposed of and it is expected that the trial Court shall expedite the trial and conclude the same within a reasonable period of time.

Sd/-
(Sachin Singh Rajput)
JUDGE

Pawan