



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.56 of 2025

Pramod Kumar Nanda* *Petitioner

Mr. S.K. Mishra, Sr. Advocate
Instructed by Mr. S. Pattanayak, Advocate
Miss S. Sahoo, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha and others* *Opp. Parties

Mr. Debaraj Mohanty, AGA

**CORAM:
JUSTICE M.S. SAHOO**

**ORDER
28.02.2025
(Hybrid Mode)**

Order No.

01. **1.** Heard learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner.

Learned Senior Counsel refers to the prayer made in the petition and submits that the petitioner has adequate and efficacious remedy U/s.12 of the OCH and PFL Act, 1972 and shall move the authority for redressal of his grievances.

2. Learned Additional Government Advocate in response submits that the statutory authority shall



consider the application made by the petitioner in accordance with law. It is submitted by the learned Senior Counsel that possibly, there will be some delay in approaching the appellate authority under the OCH and PFL Act.

3. Having heard learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Advocate, the writ petition is disposed of observing that if the petitioner approaches the appropriate authority under the provision of OCH and PFL Act within a period of 15 working days, the authority shall consider the application in accordance with law and shall also condone the delay, if an application is made for any such delay in approaching the authority.

4. The writ petition stands disposed of.

(M.S. Sahoo)
Judge