



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.15286 of 2025

Manoj Kumar Sadual

Petitioner
Mr. S. Jena, Adv.

-versus-

**State of Odisha &
Others**

Opp. Parties
Mr. C.K. Pradhan, AGA

**CORAM:
JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY**

ORDER
30.05.2025

Order No

- 01.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
- 2.** Heard learned counsel for the parties.
- 3.** The Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition with the following prayer: -

“It is therefore, most humbly prayed that this Hon’ble Court be graciously pleased to:-

i) Admit the Writ application.

ii) Call for the records.

iii) Issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions, directing the opposite parties more particularly opp. Party nos.2 and 3 for opening of Provident Fund Account in favour of the petitioner as per the provisions provided under the old General



Provident Fund (Orissa) Rules, 1938 and to include the service of the petitioner under the old Orissa Civil Service (pension) Rules, 1992 by transferring the NPS amount to the newly opened P.F. Account, keeping in view the law laid down by this Hon'ble Court in the case of Sri Anand Dash and others v. State of Odisha and Others reported in 2014 (Suppl-I) OLR-754, which has been confirmed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in SLP(C) No.35462-35464 of 2014 disposed of on 09.03.2018 under Annexure-11, as well as the recent judgment passed by this Hon'ble Court in the case of Bhuban Mohan Dash v. State of Odisha and Others, reported in 2024 (1) OLR-204, which has been confirmed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in SLP(C) Diary No.30536 of 2024 disposed of on 18.10.2024 and further the petitioner be extended with all other consequential and service benefits as is due and admissible within a reasonable time to be stipulated by this Hon'ble Court."

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that through highlighting his grievances, the petitioner has filed a representation vide Annexure-12 to the Writ Petition, but till date nothing has been done in the matter. In such background, he prays that a direction be issued to opposite party No.2 to take a decision on the above noted petition within a specific time period.

5. Considering the submissions made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court directs opposite party No.2 to take a decision on the above noted representation in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order and



communicate the result of such exercise to the petitioner.

6. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Vacation Judge

Basudev