



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

**BLAPL No.5398 of 2025**

*Abimanyu V. & Anr.*

....

*Petitioner(s)*

*Mr. Jugala Kishore Panda, Adv.*

-versus-

*State of Orissa*

....

*Opposite Party(s)*

*Mr. Debaraj Mohanty, AGA*

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE S.K. PANIGRAHI**

**Order**  
**No.**

**ORDER**  
**30.05.2025**

<b>F.I.R. No.</b>	<b>Dated</b>	<b>Police Station</b>	<b>Case No. and Courts' Name</b>	<b>Sections</b>
0055	11.04.2025	Berhampur	G.R. Case No.24 of 2025 (N) arising out of Berhampur GRPS Case No.55 of 2025 pending in the Court of learned Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Ganjam, Berhampur	Sections 20(b)(ii) (B) of the N.D.P.S Act

- 01.
1. This matter is taken up through hybrid arrangement.
  2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and learned counsel for the State.
  3. The Petitioners being in custody in connection with G.R. Case No.24 of 2025 (N) arising out of Berhampur GRPS Case No.55 of 2025 pending in the Court of learned Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Ganjam, Berhampur registered for the alleged commission of offences under



Sections 20(b)(ii) (B) of the N.D.P.S Act, have filed this application for their release on bail.

4. The brief fact of the case is that on getting credible information regarding transportation of contraband Ganja the OIC of Police along with other Officials on 11.04.2025 at about 1.10 P.M. apprehended the present Petitioners near the Platform No.2 of the Berhampur Railway Station. On search, they recovered 19.885 Kg. of contraband Ganja from the conscious possession of the present Petitioners. Accordingly, the Petitioners were taken into custody and they have been languishing in custody since 12.04.2025.

5. Learned counsel for the Petitioners contends that though nothing has been seized from the conscious possession of the present Petitioners, the contraband Ganja alleged to have been seized from the conscious possession of the present Petitioners comes within the purview of below commercial quantity. The Petitioners were only waiting for train at the time of occurrence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the Petitioners, accordingly, prays for permitting the Petitioners to be released on bail.

6. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the Petitioners. He further submits that such type of offences is not tolerable in a law abiding society. He, accordingly, prays for dismissal of this BLAPL.



7. Considering the submissions made on behalf of both the parties, this Court without going into the merits of the case, directs the court in *seisin* over the matter to release the present Petitioners on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing of cash security of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand only) each along with two local solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the court in *seisin* over the matter with further conditions that:

- i. the Petitioners shall appear before the concerned local Police Station in every fortnight on Monday between 10.00A.M. to 1.00P.M. till conclusion of the trial;
- ii. the Petitioners shall not indulge themselves in any criminal activities in future;
- iii. the Petitioners shall not tamper the evidence of the prosecution witnesses in any manner;

Violation of any of the above conditions shall entail cancellation of the bail.

8. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

**(Dr. S.K. Panigrahi)**  
**Vacation Judge**