



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**W.P.(C) No.14562 of 2025**

***Dr. Upasana Mahapatra*** ....

***Petitioner***

*Mr. S.R. Mohapatra, Advocate*

*-versus-*

***State of Odisha & Ors.***

....

***Opp. Parties***

*Mr. A. Tripathy, AGA*

*Mr. P.K. Mohanty, Sr. Adv. for O.P.2*

**CORAM:**

**JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY**

**ORDER**

**26.08.2025**

**Order No.**

- 04.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties.
3. Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition *inter alia* with the following prayer:-

*“It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to;*

*a) Admit the writ petition.*

*b) Call for the records.*

*c) Issue Rule NISI by calling upon the Opp. parties to show cause as to why the name of the petitioner shall not be recommended to the Government for appointment as Dental Surgeon in Group-A (Junior) as per advertisement No. 15 of 2017-18 published by Odisha Public Service Commission and revised merit list to published under Annexure-2 and if they do not show cause or show insufficient cause, then said Rule may be made absolute and necessary directions may be issued directing Odisha Public Service Commission recommend the name of the petitioner for appointment*



*to the post of Dental Surgeon in Group-A (Junior) as per the adverseness No.15 of 2017-18 published by Odisha Public Service Commission and revised merit list published under Annexure-2.*

*d) May pass any other order/orders the petitioner is entitled to.*

*And for this act of kindness the petitioner will as in duty bound ever pray.”*

**4.** It is contended by the learned counsel appearing for the Parties that even though Petitioner's name was reflected in the merit list, but her name was not recommended taking into account the vacancy available.

**4.1.** However, it is fairly contended Petitioner be permitted to make a fresh representation before Opposite Party No.2 for consideration of his grievance.

**5.** Having heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties and considering the submissions made, this Court while disposing the Writ Petition permits the Petitioner to move an application before Opposite Party No.2 for consideration of her grievance as made in the present Writ Petition.

**5.1.** It is observed that if such application is filed within a period of two (2) weeks hence, Opp. Party No.2 shall do well to take a lawful decision on the same within a period of six (2) weeks from the date of receipt of such application. The order so passed by Opp. Party No.2 be communicated to the Petitioner.



**6.** With the aforesaid observations and directions, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

**(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)**  
**Judge**

Subrat