

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No.5773of 2024

Subhash Kumar Mehta alias SubhashMehta son of Ram Pravesh Mehta aged about 25 years, Resident of village, P.O. and P.S. Haidarnagar, District-Palamau **Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. Suraj Kumar Mehta, S/o Sudarshan Mehta, R/o Village-P.O. & P.S. Haidarnagar, District-Palamau... .. **Opposite Party**

CORAM: SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Baban Prasad, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Srivastava, A.P.P.

For the O.P. No. 2 : Mr. Hadish Ansari, Advocate

07/ 30.04.2025

1. Heard the parties.
2. This is an application filed by the petitioner praying for grant of anticipatory bail in terms of Sections 482 and 484 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, as the petitioner has been allegedly implicated in connection with Complaint Case No. 334 of 2020 registered under Section 307/387/302/120B of the Indian Penal Code, pending in the court of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Palamau at Daltonganj.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that originally an FIR was instituted under Section 307/387/302/120B of the Indian Penal Code in which final report was submitted by the police exonerating this petitioner. Thereafter, a protest was filed and on protest, cognizance has been taken by the Court concerned.
4. The facts of this case clearly suggests that there is no apprehension of the petitioner being arrested. Since, cognizance has also been taken, no useful purpose will be

served in keeping petitioner in custody. The only assurance which the Court should take for the petitioner that he will appear regularly before the Court and he will not delay the trial or nor will interfere or tamper with the arrest.

5. The petitioner is thus directed to appear before the Court below pursuant to the summons which has been issued to him.
6. This anticipatory bail application is thus disposed of.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

Rashmi/Cp-3