

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI  
**B.A No. 5322 of 2025**

Aditya Kumar Pathak, aged about 21 years, son of Ramdev Pathak, resident of  
H. No. 213, Mahanand Basti, Jemco, P.O and P.S. Telco, Town Jamshedpur,  
District East Singhbhum --- --- Petitioner  
Versus  
The State of Jharkhand --- --- Opp. Party

---  
CORAM: **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ambuj Nath**

---  
For the Petitioner: Mr. Girish Mohan Singh, Advocate  
For the O.P-State: Mr. Sudhir Kr. Mahato, A.P.P.  
---

03 / 31.07.2025 Heard the parties.

2. Petitioner has been made accused in connection with S.T. No. 132 of 2025 arising out of Telco P.S. Case No. 112 of 2024 corresponding to G.R. No. 1213 of 2024 for the offences registered under sections 126, 115(1), 117(2), 109(1), 74, 352 and 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the court of learned District and learned Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Special Court (CAW), East Singhbhum, Jamshedpur.

3. Bail application of the petitioner was earlier rejected by this court vide B.A. No. 371 of 2025 by order dated 12.03.2025, at that stage.

4. Petitioner entered into the house of the Informant and molested her. When the husband of the Informant came to rescue her, he assaulted him by a cricket bat, due to which, he sustained grievous injuries.

5. Status report regarding the stage of the trial and the time required for its conclusion earlier called for, has been received. It appears that most of the prosecution witnesses have been examined and only the Informant remains to be examined.

6. In view of the aforesaid facts, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

Learned Trial Court shall expedite the trial and preferably conclude the same within a period of three months from the next date fixed for recording of the evidence, failing which, petitioner, if so advised, may renew his prayer for bail.

**(Ambuj Nath, J)**