



**Serial No. 08**  
**Regular List**

**HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA**  
**AT SHILLONG**

WP(C) No.204 of 2017

Date of Order: 29.05.2025

Shri. Monjak Ali  
S/o Shri. Hasi Moynal Hocke  
R/o Pathorkada Village,  
P.O. Paham, P.S. Tikrikilla  
West Garo Hills District, Meghalaya

**..... Petitioner**

**-VERSUS-**

1. Garo Hills Autonomous District Council, Tura  
Represented by its Secretary to the Executive  
Committee, Tura Meghalaya.
2. The Executive Committee,  
Garo Hills Autonomous District Council, Tura  
Represented by its Secretary, Tura, Meghalaya.
3. The Chief Executive Member, Garo Hills Autonomous  
District Council, Tura, Meghalaya.
4. The Executive Member (Rev), Garo Hills Autonomous  
District Council, Tura, Meghalaya.
5. The Revenue Officer, Garo Hills Autonomous  
District Council, Tura, Meghalaya.
6. Enquiry Officer, Garo Hills Autonomous  
District Council, Tura, Meghalaya.
7. Shri. Johirul Islam Sarkar  
S/o Shri Abdul Kayon,  
R/o Pathorkatta, P.O. Paham  
West Garo Hills District, Meghalaya

**..... Respondents**



---

**Coram:**

**Hon'ble Mr. Justice B. Bhattacharjee, Judge**

---

**Appearance:**

For the Petitioner/Appellant(s) : Mr. S. Thapa, Adv with  
Mr. S. Khyriem, Adv

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. S.Dey, SC GHADC (R:1-6)  
Mr. P. Nongbri, Adv (R:7)

---

**(ORAL)**

Heard learned counsels appearing for the parties.

By this writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the impugned judgment and order dated 14.02.2017 passed in Revision Petition No.2. of 2016 by the respondent No.3. The prime contention raised by the petitioner is that the respondent No.3 had no authority vested on him to exercise power of revisional jurisdiction and hence, the passing of the impugned judgment and order is nothing but an abuse of process of law.

The learned counsels appearing for the respondents do not dispute the contention that the respondent No.3 had no authority to pass the impugned judgment and order by assuming revisional power.

In view of the above, the order dated 14.02.2017 passed in Revision Petition No.2. of 2016 by the respondent No.3 i.e. the Chief Executive Member, Garo Hills Autonomous District Council, Tura, is hereby set aside and quashed. However, the respondent No.7 shall have the liberty to seek redressal of his grievance in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands allowed in aforesaid terms.

**Judge**

Meghalaya  
29.05.2025  
"Shrity,PS"