

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 14910/2024

1. Mithun S/o Hemraj, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Barana, Police Station Sadar Baran, District Baran. (At Present Confined In District Jail Baran)
2. Liladhar S/o Hemraj, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Barana, Police Station Sadar Baran, District Baran. (At Present Confined In District Jail Baran)
3. Hansraj S/o Sujan, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Tulsan, Police Station Sadar Baran, District Baran. (At Present Confined In District Jail, Baran).

----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Pushpender Kumar Pandey

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Amit Punia, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

29/11/2024

1. The instant bail application has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS., on behalf of the petitioners, who have been arrested in connection with FIR No.231/2024 registered at Sadar Baran, District Baran (Raj.) for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 352, 110 & 3(5) of BNS. During investigation, offences punishable under Sections 126(1), 115(2), 118(1), 352, 110 & 3(5) of BNS have been found proved against the petitioners.

2. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the accused-petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case.

Learned counsel submits on account of petty dispute, FIR has been registered against the petitioners. He submits that now parties have resolved their dispute amicably. Petitioners are in custody since their date of arrest. Further custody of the petitioners would not serve any fruitful purpose.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the bail application.
4. I have considered the contentions.
5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners, especially the fact that maximum term of sentence of the alleged offences is seven years & parties have resolved their dispute amicably; looking to the custody period, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, I deem it proper to allow the bail application.
6. This bail application is accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioners – **1) Mithun S/o Hemraj, 2) Liladhar S/o Hemraj & 3) Hansraj S/o Sujan** shall be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only) together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only) each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court and any court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.
7. Office is directed to send copy of this order forthwith to the accused petitioners through concerned Jail Superintendent. Office is also directed to send copy of this order to the concerned trial

court forthwith. After receiving copy of this order, the learned trial court shall intimate the accused petitioner regarding this bail granting order.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

GAUTAM JAIN /65