



\$~1(Original Side)

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 1231/2016**

BINDRA TRAVEL LINE PVT. LTD.Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Shashank Garg and Ms. Nishta
Jain, Advocates

versus

SEHWAG INTERNAIONAL SCHOOLDefendant

Through: Mr. Counsel through VC(appearance
no given)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **03.09.2024**

I.A. 38242/2024 (delay of 14 days in filing)

1. In view of the reasons stated in the Application, the same is allowed.
2. The delay is hereby condoned.

REVIEW PET. 322/2024

3. The present Review Petition has been filed under Order XLVII Rule 1 of the CPC, 1908 read with Section 114 of the CPC for review of the Judgement dated 28.06.2024.
4. It is submitted that while recovery of Rs. 20,42, 724/- towards the Bills for the month of April and May, 2015, the interest @9% has been allowed only for those two months and no interest has been awarded *pendente lite* and future till the date of payment.
5. The Order is absolutely clear and there is no error on the face of record which required modification.



6. The second aspect which has been challenged is in respect of the claim of Rs.10,23,980/- towards deductions. It is claimed that the findings on this aspect are contrary to the evidence of the documents filed on behalf of the parties.

7. However, the challenge does not fall within the scope of Review.the parties are at liberty to seek the appropriate remedy.

8. There is no ground for review and the petition is hereby disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

SEPTEMBER 3, 2024/PT