



\$~S5 & S6

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **CS(OS) 1336/1998**  
**AJAY NARAIN**

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rohit Gupta, Advocate.

Versus

**AARTI SINGH & ORS.**

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Prateek Dhanda, Advocate for  
D-1.

Mr. Bharat Arora, Advocate for D-2.

S6

+ **CS(OS) 2273/2000**

**AARTI SINGH**

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Prateek Dhanda, Advocate for  
P-1.

Mr. Bharat Arora, Advocate for P-2.

Versus

**AJAY NARAIN**

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Rohit Gupta, Advocate.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA**

**ORDER**

**18.12.2024**

%

1. The matter has been put up on Office Note, wherein it is mentioned that the Agreement to Sell Ex.D-13 as mentioned in paragraph 173 is in fact dated 30.03.1998 though it has been written as 31.03.1998.

2. Record perused.



3. There has been a typographical error. The same is rectified and the Agreement to Sell Ex.D-13 be read as dated 30.03.1998.

**NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J**

**DECEMBER 18, 2024**

*va*