

HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL
Anticipatory Bail Application No.1154 of 2024

Ashwani KumarApplicant

Versus

Directorate of EnforcementRespondent

Present:-

Mr. Navneet Kaushik, Advocate for the applicant.

Ms. Monika Pant, Advocate for the Directorate of Enforcement.

Ms. Shalini Kumari, Legal Consultant of Directorate of Enforcement.

Hon'ble Ravindra Maithani, J. (Oral)

Applicant seeks anticipatory bail in ECIR/DNSZO/01/2021/1535 of 2021, Zone Chandigarh, Sub-Zone Dehradun.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the Enforcement Directorate ("ED") has already filed a complaint against the son and the daughter-in-law of the applicant; the ED has been investigating the matter for the last three and a half years and just to harass the applicant, they are still investigating the matter; applicant apprehends his arrest.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant would also submit that the applicant has appeared before the

Enforcement Directorate (“E.D.”) and has submitted the requisite documents.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the E.D. would submit that E.D. has issued summons to the applicant requiring him to produce certain documents, which he has produced.

6. On it, learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the reply is elusive. The E.D. had all the documents, which were deposited by the applicant now.

7. When requested to explain it further, Ms. Shalini Kumari, the Legal Consultant for E.D., would submit that there are some documents, which the applicant did produce now. She gave a categorical statement that at the moment, E.D. has no reason to believe that the applicant is wanted in the case.

8. The Court, particularly, asked from the learned counsel for the E.D. as to whether the applicant is wanted by the E.D. or not? The answer is that no summons have been issued to him after this. He had come against notices issued to him in the month of November, 2024, requiring him to produce certain documents, which he has already produced. Further, no summons have been issued.

9. The Court takes on record the statement given on behalf of the E.D.

10. In view of the statement given on behalf of the E.D., nothing survives in this anticipatory bail application. It stands disposed of accordingly.

(Ravindra Maithani, J)
31.12.2024

Ravi