



2024:UHC:8078

S L N o.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p><u>WPCRL/ 1205/ 2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.</u></p> <p>Mr. Pankaj Semwal, learned counsel for the petitioners.</p> <p>2. Mr. Bhaskar Chandra Joshi, learned AGA for the State.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p>4. By means of the present writ petition, petitioners has impugned the First Information Report No.0413 of 2024 dated 10.10.2024, under Sections 74, 62, 333, 115(2), 191(2), 191(3), 352 & 351(2) of BNS, 2023, registered with Police Station Ranipur, District Haridwar.</p> <p>5. It is mainly contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the impugned FIR was lodged against the petitioner in counterblast to the FIR lodged by the petitioners i.e. FIR No.414 of 2024 dated 10.10.2024 with Police Station Ranipur, District Haridwar.</p> <p>6. The theory of counterblast as pointed out by learned counsel for the petitioners does not find favour with this Court for the reason that the FIR lodged by the petitioners is subsequent in time, while the respondent no.3 lodged his FIR earlier. The allegations made in the first information report are very serious and disclose commission of offence.</p> <p>7. Therefore, this Court does not want to interfere with the writ petition. Moreover, the petitioners do not fall within the parameters given in the case of <u>Neeharika, Infrastructure Private Limited Vs. State of Maharashtra and others</u> reported in <u>(2021) 19 SCC 401</u>, therefore this Court declines to exercise its extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed in limine.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Pankaj Purohit, J.) 25.10.2024</p> <p>AK</p>



2024:UHC:8078

--	--	--	--