IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MS. RITU BAHRI AND HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE RAKESH THAPLIYAL

22ND MARCH, 2024

SPECIAL APPEAL No. 230 OF 2023

| State of Uttarakhand and others. | Appellants |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Versus | |
| Om Prakash. | Respondent |
| With | |
| SPECIAL APPEAL No. 250 OF 2023 | |
| State of Uttarakhand and others. | Appellants |
| Versus | |
| Tara Singh and another. | Respondents |
| With | |
| SPECIAL APPEAL No. 251 OF | 2023 |
| State of Uttarakhand and others. | Appellants |
| Versus | |
| Abhishek Kumar Tiwari and another. | Respondents |

With

SPECIAL APPEAL No. 252 OF 2023

| State of Uttarakhand and others. | Appellants |
|--|-------------|
| Versus | |
| Ravi Baniya. | Respondent |
| With | |
| SPECIAL APPEAL No. 269 OF 2023 | |
| State of Uttarakhand and others. | Appellants |
| Versus | |
| Garima Tamta and another. | Respondents |
| With | |
| SPECIAL APPEAL No. 270 OF 2023 | |
| State of Uttarakhand and others. | |
| | Appellants |
| Versus | Appellants |
| Versus Rajeev Kumar. | Appellants |
| | |
| Rajeev Kumar. | Respondent |
| Rajeev Kumar. With | Respondent |
| Rajeev Kumar. With SPECIAL APPEAL No. 271 OF | Respondent |

With

SPECIAL APPEAL No. 275 OF 2023

State of Uttarakhand and others.

... Appellants

Versus

Vedant Sharma.

...Respondent

With

SPECIAL APPEAL No. 276 OF 2023

State of Uttarakhand and others.

...Appellants

Versus

Mukesh Sharma.

...Respondent

Counsel for the appellants/ State

of Uttarakhand.

Gajendra Tripathi, learned : Mr.

Standing Counsel for the State of Uttarakhand.

Counsel for all the respondents, except in SPA No.

269/2023.

private : Dr. Kartikey Hari Gupta and Ms. Irum

Zeba, learned counsel.

Counsel for the Union of India.

: Mr. Rajesh Sharma, learned Standing

Counsel for the Union of India.

SPA No. 269/2023.

Counsel for respondent no. 1 in : Mr. Nandan Arya, learned counsel.

JUDGMENT: (per Ms. Ritu Bahri, C.J.)

For the reasons stated in Delay the Condonation Applications filed in all these Appeals, the delay in filing these Special Appeals is condoned. All the Delay Condonation Applications stand allowed.

2. Counsel for the State has informed the Court

that the present Special Appeals have been rendered

infructuous, as all the private respondents (Doctors)

have completed their bond period, and after completing

their bond period, the amount due has been released to

them, and the private respondents (Doctors) have been

relieved from bond conditions.

3. In view of the aforesaid statement made by

the learned counsel for the State, the present Special

Appeals are dismissed as infructuous.

4. Pending application(s), if any, also stand

disposed of accordingly.

RITU BAHRI, C.J.

RAKESH THAPLIYAL, J.

Dt: 22nd March, 2024

Rahul