

HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 1512 of 2024

GufranApplicant

Versus

State of UttarakhandOpposite Party

Present:-

Mr. Abhishek Verma, Advocate for the applicant.

Ms. Manisha Rana Singh, AGA for the State.

First Bail Application No. 1443 of 2024

AmjadApplicant

Versus

State of UttarakhandRespondent

Present:-

Ms. Sadaf, Advocate for the applicant.

Ms. Manisha Rana Singh, AGA for the State.

First Bail Application No. 1444 of 2024

Saeer Ali @ ChhotaApplicant

Versus

State of UttarakhandRespondent

Present:-

Ms. Sadaf, Advocate for the applicant.

Ms. Manisha Rana Singh, AGA for the State.

Hon'ble Ravindra Maithani, J. (Oral)

Since all these bail applications arise from one and the same FIR, they are heard together and being decided by this common order.

2. Applicants Gufran, Amjad and Saeer Ali @ Chhota are in judicial custody in FIR/Case Crime No. 03 of

2024, under Sections 302, 201 & 120B IPC, Police Station Buggawala, District Haridwar. They have sought their release on bail.

3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

4. According to the FIR, the deceased Mukeem had left his home on 29.12.2023 at about 04:00 p.m., but, he did not return. A search was made. Subsequently, his dead body was recovered.

5. Learned counsel for the applicants would submit that the applicants have not committed any offence; there is no evidence against the applicants; merely based on the confessional statements, they have been arrested.

6. Learned counsel for the State would submit that based on the call details of the deceased, some telephone numbers were suspected. She would submit that when the applicants were apprehended; they confessed their guilt. She would submit that as of now, this is the only material against the applicants. She would also submit that some recovery was also made from the applicants, including screw driver, car and one scarf. On being asked, learned State Counsel would submit that there is no forensic report of these objects.

7. Having considered, this Court is of the view that it is a case fit for bail and the applicants deserve to be enlarged on bail.

8. The bail applications are allowed.

9. Let the applicants be released on bail, on their executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of the like amount, by each one of them, to the satisfaction of the court concerned.

(Ravindra Maithani, J)
30.08.2024

Avneet/