



118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-12629-2024
Date of Decision: 31.12.2024

Muskan Malik and another	...Petitioners
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Manu Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Parveen Badhu, AAG, Haryana.

ALOK JAIN, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer in the present criminal writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of direction to official respondents Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 8.
2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he does not wish to press the present petition and prays for withdrawal of the same with liberty to file a fresh one with correct and better particulars and detailing out the specific threat perception.
3. In light of the above, the present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.
4. It is made clear that the Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of this case and the liberty granted, if any, is only on the request of the counsel for the petitioners and is not a direction.

**(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE**

31.12.2024

Parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No