



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10617-2024  
Decided on: 31.10.2024

SOHEL AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Tanwar, Advocate, for Mr. Farukh Abdullah,  
Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana  
\*\*\*\*

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to official respondents No. 1 to 3, to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Soheli, aged about 24 years and petitioner No.2 – Shafeena, aged 20 years, have solemnized marriage/Nikah on 27.10.2024, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No. 4 to 6. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that it is the first marriage of both the petitioners. It has been submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 28.10.2024



CRWP-10617-2024

2

(Annexure P-4), to respondent No. 2 – Superintendent of Police, Nuh, District Nuh, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 (State).

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 – Superintendent of Police, Nuh, District Nuh, to look into the representation dated 28.10.2024 (Annexure P-4), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage/Nikah said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

7. It is also made clear that this order is subject to the supply of copy of complete paper book to the learned State counsel during day course by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

**October 31, 2024**  
*P Kapoor*

*Whether speaking/reasoned:* Yes/No  
*Whether Reportable:* Yes/No