

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.6099 of 2024 Date of Decision: 28.06.2024

Hem Lata and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

<u>CORAM</u>: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Arun K. Gupta, Advocate

for the petitioners.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By way of the instant petition, both the petitioners have sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their lives and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents. It has also been mentioned in this petition that a representation (Annexure P-5) has already been moved to respondents No.2 and 3 in this regard.

- 2. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.
- 3. Mr. Apoorv Garg, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance and he accepts the notice on their behalf.

NEETU RANI 2024.06.28 17:04 I attest to the accuracy and authenticity of this order/judgmen CRWP No.6099 of 2024

2024:PHHC:080704

4. Heard.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to the

issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to look into and take appropriate

action on the said representation of the petitioners, i.e. Annexure P-5.

-2-

6. Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

7. Keeping in view the intent of the fundamental right as enshrined

in Article 21 of the Constitution of India which ensures the protection of life

and liberty to the citizens as well as the afore-discussed limited prayer as made

by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting or expressing

any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage as stated to have been

solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police,

Ambala, is, hereby, directed to look into the above-referred representation

(Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely

deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

8. This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

28.06.2024 neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA) **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes Whether Reportable: No