

## 128 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

INCOMP-6651-2024 Date of Decision:29.11.2024

THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PANCHAYAT SAMITI BARWALA DIST., HISAR ....... Petitioner

Versus

THE COMMISSIONER UNDER THE PAYMENT OF GRATUITY ACT, 1972 AND ORS. ..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: None.

\*\*\*\*

## JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

- The aforesaid Civil Writ Petition was filed on 08.04.2024 by Ms. Aakriti Mittal, Advocate. The Registry had raised certain objections on the case file on 09.04.2024. The same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections.
- 2. As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. On failure, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders.
- 3. A note was published by the Registry in the cause list, whereby the Advocates were requested to collect their cases lying with objections. As per office report, message at registered mobile number of the Advocate/his Clerk was also sent, however, nobody has come forward.
- The instant case is shown in the cause list for today i.e.
  29.11.2024, however, no one is present.
- Keeping in view the aforesaid factual matrix, no further order is required to be passed. The file be consigned to the record.

( JAGMOHAN BANSAL ) JUDGE

29.11.2024

Whether speaking/reasoned Whether Reportable Yes/No Yes/No