

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH

WRIT PETITION NO: 5132 OF 2011

Between:

1. Smt. K. Hemalatha, W/o. Vamsi Krishna Housewife R/o. Gandhamguda Village, Rajendra Nagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
2. Smt. K. Radhika Ratna, W/o. Bala Krishna Mohan Housewife R/o. Gandhamguda Village, Rajendra Nagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
3. Mr. Y. Pardha Saradhi, S/o. Chandra Sekhar Rao Business R/o. Gandhamguda Village, Rajendra Nagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
4. Mr. M. Venkat Rangaiah, S/o. Late Satyanarayana Business R/o. Gandhamguda Village, Rajendra Nagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh., Rep. by its Principal Secretary Department of Revenue, Secretariat Buildings, Saifabad,
2. The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Khairthabad, Hyderabad.
3. Mr. N. Madhu Sudan Rao, S/o. Narahari Rao Business R/o. H.No. 10-2-523, Asifnagar, Hyderabad.
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevella Division, Ranga Reddy District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of the Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in passing the impugned order dated 08-01-2010 passed in Revision Petition No. D1/4789/2007 as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India apart from being violative of principles of natural justice and consequently set aside the impugned order dated 08-01-2010 passed by the 2nd respondent in Revision Petition No. D1/4789/2007.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2011(WPMP. NO: 6351 OF 2011)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the impugned order dated 08-01-2010 in Revision Petition No. D1/4789/2007 passed by the Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, the 2nd respondent herein, pending final disposal of the main writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI VENKATA RANGADAS KANURI

Counsel for the Respondents No.1,2&4 : GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent No.3 : SRI P.ROY REDDY

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.SARATH

WRIT PETITION No.5132 OF 2011

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the cause in the writ petition does not survive. In view of the same, the writ petition has become infructuous.

2. Recording the aforementioned submission, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

3. As sequel to it, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

**SD/- K.SREERAMA MURTHY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI VENKATA RANGADAS KANURI, Advocate. [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
3. One CC to SRI P.ROY REDDY, Advocate. [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies.


BSK

GJP



HIGH COURT

DATED:30/10/2024



ORDER

WP.No.5132 of 2011

**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
AS INFRUCTUOUS
WITHOUT COSTS**

7
04/12/24
lv