

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**WEDNESDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 15291 OF 2010

Between:

Taj Begum, W/o Late Abdul Gani, aged about 81 years, Occ: Household, R/o. Punjesha Locality, Adilabad, District Adilabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Tahsildar, Adilabad.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Adilabad

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to pass an order or orders directions or issue a writ more particularly in the nature of writ of Mandamus directing the respondents herein to conduct a Survey for demarcating and fixing the boundaries of Agricultural land of the petitioner to the extent of 15 acres situated in Sy.No. 131/6(Old) corresponding to 131/10 (New) village Mavala, Mandal and District Adilabad, and consequently declare the action of respondents in not conducting the said survey is illegal, arbitrary violative of Article 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and against the mandatory provisions of A.P. Survey and Boundaries Act and its Rules.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2010(WPMP. NO: 19254 OF 2010)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to pass an order directing the respondents herein to consider the representation of petitioner dt. 26-5-2010 and 11-6-2010 for demarcation and fixing of boundary of my agricultural land to the extent of 15 acres situated in Sy.No. 131/6(Old)

corresponding to 131/10 (New) village Mavala, Mandal and District Adilabad,
Pending disposal of main writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI S.CHANDRASEKHAR

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

WRIT PETITION No.15291 of 2010

ORDER:

When the matter is taken up for consideration, Sri S.Chandrasekhar, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the cause in the writ petition does not survive for adjudication.

In view of the above submission, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

SD/-P.CH.NAGABHUSHAMBA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

//TRUE COPY//

To,

1. One CC to SRI S.CHANDRASEKHAR, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies

BSR

SB




HIGH COURT

DATED: 31/01/2024

ORDER

WP.No.15291 of 2010



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
AS INFRUCTUOUS,
WITHOUT COSTS**

6 Copies
GBR
MBL
26/02