



LA.APP. NO. 30 OF 2018 and conctd. cases

1

2024:KER:90824

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM
PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL
&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA, 1946

LA.APP. NO. 30 OF 2018

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 27.07.2016 IN LAR NO.5 OF
2010 OF PRINCIPAL SUB COURT, KOLLAM

APPELLANT/2ND RESPONDENT:

THE MANAGING DIRECTOR, KERALA FEEDS LTD.
KALLETUMKARA, THRISSUR 680 683

BY ADV JOSEPH M.P.

RESPONDENTS/CLAIMANT & 1ST RESPONDENT:

- 1 NARAYANA SHARMA (* DIED)
S/O. PARAMESWARAN, PUNNOOR MADAM, KALLELIBHAGAM, EDAKULANGARA
P.O, KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM 690 523
- 2 STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM 691 013

*Addl. Respondents 3 to 5
- 3 N.PARAMESWARA SARMA,
RESIDING AT PUNNOOR MADOM, EDAKULANGARA, P.O.
KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM DISTRICT 690523
4. MINI KANNAN, NOW RESIDING AT FLAT NO.13, ANUGRAHA
APPARTMENTS, KALAVATH ROAD, PALLISSERI LANE, PALARIVATTOM,
KOCHIN 682 025
5. KRISHNA KUMAR. N, RESIDING AT PUNNOOR MADOM, EDAKULANGARA,
P.O. KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM DISTRICT 690523

ADDITIONAL RESPONDENTS 3 TO 5 IMPEADED AS THE LEGAL
REPRESENTATIVES OF THE DECEASED 1ST RESPONDENT AS PER ORDER
DATED 13.8.24 IN I.A NO.1 OF 2024

BY ADVS.
V.PREMCHAND
HALIYA T.P.
MAHADEV M.J

OTHER PRESENT:

SR GP SRI T K SHAJAHAN

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
28.11.2024, ALONG WITH CO.83/2018, 53/2021 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA, 1946

CO NO. 83 OF 2018

AGAINST LAA NO.337 OF 2017 OF HIGH COURT OF KERALA

CROSS OBJECTORS/RESPONDENTS 1&2:

1. MADHAVAN KUTTY NAIR, AGED 74 YEARS, S/O KESAVAN PILLAI,
THENNALA SREE BHAVANAM, KALLELIBHAGAM, KARUNAGAPPALLY,
KOLLAM - 690562
2. SREEDEVI AMMA, W/O MADHAVAN KUTTY NAIR, AGED 65 YEARS,
THENNALA SREE BHAVANAM, KALLELIBHAGAM, KARUNAGAPPALLY,
KOLLAM - 690562

BY ADVS.
SRI.PRATHEESH.P
SMT.RENY ANTO

RESPONDENTS/APPELLANT/3RD RESPONDENT:

1. STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM
2. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR, KERALA FEED LTD, KALLETUMKARA P.O,
THRISSUR DISTRICT, PIN 680 001

BY ADVS.
SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN, SR. GP, R1
SRI.S.MOHAMMED AL RAFI, SC, GAIL INDIA LIMITED
SRI. M.P JOSEPH FOR R2

THIS CROSS OBJECTION/CROSS APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App..337/2017 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT
ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM
PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.
THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA,
1946
LA.APP. NO. 53 OF 2021

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 29.9.2020 IN LAR
NO.23 OF 2014 OF PRINCIPAL SUB COURT, KOLLAM

APPELLANT/ADDL. 2ND RESPONDENT:

THE MANAGER, KERALA FEEDS LTD.
KALLETUMKARA, THRISSUR-680 683.

BY ADV JOSEPH M.P.

RESPONDENTS/CLAIMANT NO.2 TO 4 & 1ST RESPONDENT:

- 1 MAYA VARMA
W/O.LATE RAMAMURALEEDHARA VARMA, SKYLINE TOPAS 14
A AND B, KADAVANTHRA, KALOOR, KOCHI-682 020.
- 2 SANJAYA VARMA,
S/O.LATE RAMAMURALEEDHARA VARMA, 2D PINE WOOD
SKYLINE CITY PARK, JAWAHAR NAGAR, KADAVANTHRA,
KOCHI-682 020.
- 3 SUNEETH VARMA,
S/O.LATE RAMAMURALEEDHARA VARMA, SKYLINE TOPAZ 14
A AND B, KADAVANTHRA, KALOOR, KOCHI-682 020.
- 4 STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY SPECIAL TAHSILDAR, OFFICE OF THE
SPECIAL TAHSILDAR, LA NO.1, KOLLAM-691 013.

SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN, SR. GP, R4

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App..30/2018 AND CONNECTED
CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA,

1946

LA.APP. NO. 2 OF 2018

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 3.6.2016 IN LAR
NO.16 OF 2010 OF PRINCIPAL SUB COURT, KOLLAM

APPELLANT/2ND RESPONDENT:

THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
KERALA FEEDS LTD., KALLETUMKARA, THRISSUR-680 683.

BY ADV JOSEPH M.P.

RESPONDENTS/CLAIMANT & 1ST RESPONDENT:

1 SUKUMARA PILLAI
 S/O.NARAYANA PILLAI, THENNALA
 THOTTATHIL, KALLELIBHAGAM, EDAKULANGARA
 P.O., KALLELIBHAGOM VILLAGE, KARUNAGAPPALLY, PIN-690
 523.

2 STATE OF KERALA
 REPRESENTED BY DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM-691 013.

SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN, SR. GP FOR R2

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App..30/2018 AND CONNECTED
CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA,

1946

LA.APP. NO. 5 OF 2018

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 3.6.2016 IN LAR
NO.17 OF 2010 OF PRINCIPAL SUB COURT, KOLLAM

APPELLANT/2ND RESPONDENT:

THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
KERALA FEEDS LTD., KALLETUMKARA, THRISSUR-680 683.

BY ADV JOSEPH M.P.

RESPONDENTS/CLAIMANT & 1ST RESPONDENT:

- 1 ASWATHY
D/O.INDIRA DEVI, VILAYIL VEEDU, KALLELIBHAGOM,
KARUNAGAPPALLY-690 519.
- 2 STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM-691013.

BY ADV SRI.B.MOHANLAL, R1
SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN, SR. GP, R2

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App..30/2018 AND CONNECTED
CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA,

1946

LA.APP. NO. 7 OF 2018

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 03.06.2016 IN
LAR NO.14 OF 2010 OF PRINCIPAL SUB COURT, KOLLAM

APPELLANT/2ND RESPONDENT:

THE MANAGING DIRECTOR, KERALA FEEDS LTD.
KALLETUMKARA, THRISSUR-680 683

BY ADV JOSEPH M.P.

RESPONDENTS/CLAIMANT & 1ST RESPONDENT:

- 1 PRABHAKARAN PILLAI
THENNALA THOPPIL, KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE,
KARUNAGAPPALLY-690 519
- 2 STATE OF KERALA REP. BY DISTRICT COLLECTOR KOLLAM-
691 013

BY ADV SRI.B.MOHANLAL, R1
SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN, SR. GP, R2

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App..30/2018 AND CONNECTED
CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA,

1946

LA.APP. NO. 12 OF 2018

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 28.9.2016 IN LAR
NO.42 OF 2010 OF PRINCIPAL SUB COURT, KOLLAM

APPELLANT/2ND RESPONDENT:

THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
KERALA FEEDS LTD., KALLETUMKARA, THRISSUR - 680
683.

BY ADV JOSEPH M.P.

RESPONDENTS/CLAIMANT & 1ST RESPONDENT:

- 1 ANIL KUMAR
S/O.ANANDAKRISHNAN PILLAI, THENNALA VEEDU, KALLELI
BHAGOM, KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM - 690 546.
- 2 STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM - 691
013.

BY ADV SRI.ARUN BABU, R1
SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN, SR. GP, R2

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App..30/2018 AND CONNECTED
CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA,

1946

LA.APP. NO. 13 OF 2018

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 14.03.2016 IN
LAR NO.55 OF 2009 OF PRINCIPAL SUB COURT, KOLLAM

APPELLANT/2ND RESPONDENT:

THE MANAGING DIRECTOR, KERALA FEEDS LTD.
KALLETUMKARA, THRISSUR-680 683.

BY ADV SRI.MOHAMMED AL RAFI S., SC, KERALA FEED

RESPONDENTS/CLAIMANT & 1ST RESPONDENT:

- 1 RADHAKRISHNA PILLAI
S/O. PARAMESWARA PILLAI, PARAMBIL THARAYIL VEEDU,
KALLELIBHAGAM, KOLLAM-690 519.
- 2 STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM-691 013.

SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN, SR. GP, R2

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING BEEN COMING UP FOR
HEARING ON 28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App..30/2018 AND
CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA,

1946

LA.APP. NO. 28 OF 2018

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 22.6.2016 IN LAR
NO.9 OF 2010 OF PRINCIPAL SUB COURT, KOLLAM

APPELLANT/2ND RESPONDENT:

THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
KERALA FEEDS LTD, KALLETUMKARA, THRISSUR 680 683

BY ADV JOSEPH M.P.

RESPONDENTS/CLAIMANTS & 1ST RESPONDENT:

- 1 SATHYVATHI AMMA
D/O. THANKAMMA, THENNALA BENGLAW, KALLELIBHAGAM
VILLAGE, KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK, KOLLAM DISTRICT 690
519
- 2 SUDEVAKUMAR
S/O. DAMODARAN, KOIKKATHARA MADOM, KALLIBHAGAM
VILLAGE, KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK, KOLLAM DISTRICT
POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER DAMODARAN, KOIKKATHARA MADOM,
KALLIBHAGAM VILLAGE, KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK, KOLLAM
DISTRICT 690 519
- 3 SARALA DEVI
D/O. DAMODHARAN, HARI VIHAR, MALI BHAGAM
MURI, THEKKUMBHAGAM VILLAGE, KOLLAM 691 319



- 4 DHARANEEDHARAN
S/O. DHAMODARAN, AREEPLACHI THADATHIL PUTHEN
VEEDU, MAVILA P.O, ANCHAL, KOLLAM 691 333
 - 5 PRAMA SINGH
S/O. DAMODHARAN, MUKALUVILA
KALAYIL, AGASTYAKKODU, KOMALAM P.O, ANCHAL, KOLLAM
691 306
 - 6 SREE DEVI
D/O. DAMODHARAN, VELLUTHASSERIL VEEDU, KUNNATHOOR
EAST, KUNNATHOOR, KOLLAM 690 540
 - 7 SUDHEVAKUMAR T
S/O. DAMODHARAN, DEVARAGAM, KALLELIBHAGAM
MURI, 'KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE, KARUNAGAPPALLY 690
319
 - 8 MADHUSOODHANAN
LEKSHMI, POYIKUZH, OCHIRA 690 526
 - 9 STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM 691 013
- BY ADVS.
R.RANJANIE
MEERA M. (K/1889/2019)
SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN, SR. GP

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App..30/2018 AND CONNECTED
CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM
PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA, 1946

LA.APP. NO. 33 OF 2018

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 27.07.2016 IN LAR NO.6 OF
2010 OF PRINCIPAL SUB COURT, KOLLAM

APPELLANT/2ND RESPONSENT :

THE MANAGING DIRECTOR, KERALA FEEDS LTD
KALLETUMKARA, THRISSUR-680683.

BY ADV JOSEPH M.P.

RESPONDENTS/CLAIMANTS&1ST RESPONDENT:

- 1 CHELLAMMAL, PUNNOOR MADAM
EDAKKULANGARA.P.O, KARUNAGAPPALY (DIED)
- 2 NARAYANA SHARMA
PUNNOOR MADAM, EDAKULANGARA.P.O, KALLELIBHAGAM, KARUNAGAPPALLY-
690523.
- 3 PARAMESWARA SHARMA
S/O.CHELLAMMAL, AGED 47 YEARS, PUNNOOR
MADAM, EDAKULANGARA.P.O, KALLELIBHAGAM, KARUNAGAPPALLY-690523.
- 4 MINI KANNAN
AGED 45 YEARS, D/O.CHELLAMMAL, PUNNOOR
MADAM, EDAKULANGARA.P.O, KALLELIBHAGAM, KARUNAGAPPALLY-690523.
- 5 KRISHNA KUMAR
S/O.CHELLAMMAL, PUNNOOR
MADAM, EDAKULANGARA.P.O, KALLELIBHAGAM, KARUNAGAPPALLY-690523.
- 6 STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM-691013.

BY ADVS.

V.PREMCHAND

MAHADEV M.J. (K/182/2022), R3 TO R5

SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN, SR. GP, R6

HALIYA T.P

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App..30/2018 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON
THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA,

1946

LA.APP. NO. 38 OF 2018

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 30.8.2016 IN LAR
NO.4 OF 2011 OF PRINCIPAL SUB COURT, KOLLAM

APPELLANT/2ND RESPONDENT:

THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
KERALA FEEDS LIMITED, KALLETUMKARA, THRISSUR-680
683.

BY ADV JOSEPH M.P.

RESPONDENTS/CLAIMANT & 1ST RESPONDENT:

- 1 KAMARUDEEN
THANOLASSERIL VEEDU, KELLELIBHAGAM MURI,
KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE, KOLLAM TALUK, PIN-690 519.
- 2 STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM,
PIN-691 013

BY ADV Arun Babu, FOR R1
SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN, SR. GP FOR R2

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App..30/2018 AND CONNECTED
CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM
PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.
THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA,
1946
LA.APP. NO. 42 OF 2018

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 09.09.2016 IN
LAR NO.48 OF 2010 OF PRINCIPAL SUB COURT, KOLLAM

APPELLANT/2ND RESPONDENT:

THE MANAGING DIRECTOR, KERALA FEEDS LTD.
KALLETUMKARA, THRISSUR-680683.

BY ADV JOSEPH M.P.

RESPONDENTS/CLAIMANTS & 1ST RESPONDENT:

- 1 SIVANKUTTY
S/O.JANARDHANAN NAIR, MADATHIL VADAKKETHARAYIL,
KALLELIBHAGAM, KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM-690519.
- 2 USHAKUMARI
W/O.SIVANKUTTY, MADATHIL VADAKKETHARAYIL,
KALLELIBHAGAM, KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM-690519.
- 3 STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM-691013.

BY ADVS.
SMT.RENY ANTO
SRI.SIJU KAMALASANAN, R1 AND R2
SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN, SR. GP, R3

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App..30/2018 AND CONNECTED
CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA,

1946

LA.APP. NO. 59 OF 2018

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 29.03.2017 IN
LAR NO.44 OF 2010 OF PRINCIPAL SUB COURT, KOLLAM

APPELLANT/RESPONDENT IN LAR:

STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM.

BY SR GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN

RESPONDENTS/CLAIMANTS AND 2ND RESPONDENT IN LAR:

- 1 MADHAVAN PILLAI
AGED 70 YEARS, THENNALA LETHA
BHAVAN, KALLELIBHAGAM, KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM - 690
540.
- 2
 - SREELATHA
AGED 34 YEARS, D/O.LATE PRASANNAKUMARI
AMMA, THENNALA LETHA BHAVAN, KALLELIBHAGAM,
KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM - 690 518. (ADDRESS
CORRECTED)

* SREELATHA, A-401, GOURAV REGENCY, MANGAL NAGAR,
NEAR 15 NO. BUS STOP, MEERA ROAD EAST, THANE,
401107, MUMBAI
VIDE ORDER DATED 28.11.2019 MEMO DATED 18.11.2019



- 3 MAHESH
AGED 34 YEARS, S/O.LATE PRASANNAKUMARI
AMMA,THENNALA LETHA BHAVAN, KALLELIBHAGAM,
KARUNAGAPPALLY,KOLLAM - 690 518.
- 4 MANAGING DIRECTOR
KERALA FEEDS LTD., KALLETUMKARA, THRISSUR - 680
683.

BY ADVS.
SMT.RENY ANTO, FOR R1
JOSEPH M.P., FOR R4
SRI.K.SIJU, FOR R1

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App..30/2018 AND CONNECTED
CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA,

1946

LA.APP. NO. 73 OF 2018

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 26.11.2016 IN
LAR NO.11 OF 2010 OF PRINCIPAL SUB COURT, KOLLAM

APPELLANT/2ND RESPONDENT:

THE MANAGING DIRECTOR, KERALA FEEDS LTD.
KALLETUMKARA, THRISSUR -680 683.

BY ADV JOSEPH M.P.

RESPONDENTS/CLAIMANTS & 1ST RESPONDENT :

- 1 MADHAVAN PILLAI (DIED)
S/O.NARAYANA PILLAI, THENNALA LATHA BHAVAN,
KALLELIBHAGAM, KARUNAGAPPALLI - 690 519.
- 2 SUDHEVAKUMAR
KOIKKATHARA MADAM, KALLELIBHAGAM, KARUNAGAPPALLI -
KOLLAM - 690 519.
- 3 YESODHA AMMA
W/O.DAMODARAN, RESIDING AT DEVARAGAM,
KALLELIBHAGAM MURI, KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE,
KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK, KOLLAM -690 519 FROM
KOIKKATHARA MADAM, KALLELIBHAGAM MURI,
KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE, KOLLAM -690 519.



- 4 SUDEVAKUMAR
RESIDING AT DEVARAGAM, KALLELIBHAGAM
MURI, KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE, KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK,
KOLLAM -690 519 FROM KOIKKATHARA MADAM,
KALLELIBHAGAM MURI, KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE, KOLLAM
-690 519.
- 5 DHARANEEDHARAN
S/O.DHAMODHARAN, AREEPLACHI, THADATHIL PUTHEN
VEEDU, MAVILA.P.O., ANCHAL, KOLLAM DISTRICT - 691
333.
- 6 PREMA SINGH
S/O.DHAMODHARAN, MUKALUVILA KSLSYIL,
AGASTHYACODE.P.O., KOMALAM, ANCHAL, KOLLAM
DISTRICT -691 306.
- 7 SREEDEVI
D/O.DAMODHARAN, VELUTHASSERIL, KUNNATHOOR EAST,
KUNNATHOOR TALUK, KOLLAM DISTRICT -690 540.
- 8 MADHUSOODHANAN
RESIDING AT LEKSHMI, PAYIKUZHI, OCHIRA -690 526.
- 9 SARALA DEVI
RESIDING AT DEVARAGAM, KALLELIBHAGAM
MURI, KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE, FROM KOIKKATHARA
MADAM, KALLELIBHAGAM MURI, KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE,
KOLLAM -690 519.
- 0 STATE OF KEARALA
REPRESENTED BY DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM - 691
013.

BY ADVS.
R.RANJANIE
MEERA M. (K/1889/2019), R4

SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN, SR. GP, R10

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App..30/2018 AND
CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA, 1946

LA.APP. NO. 337 OF 2017

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 26.07.2016 IN LAR NO.13 OF
2010 OF PRINCIPAL SUB COURT, KOLLAM

APPELLANT/1ST RESPONDENT IN LAR:

THE STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM.

BY SR GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN

RESPONDENTS/CLAIMANTS & 2ND RESPONDENT IN LAR:

- 1 MADHAVAN KUTTY NAIR
THENNALA SREE BHAVANAM, KALLELIBHAGAM, KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM
- 690 562.
- 2 SREEDEVI AMMA
THENNALA SREE BHAVANAM, KALLELIBHAGAM, KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM
- 690 562.
- 3 MANAGING DIRECTOR
KERALA FEEDS LTD, KALLETUMKARA P.O, THRISSUR - 680 001.

BY ADVS.
SRI.PRATHEESH.P, FOR R1 AND R2
JOSEPH M.P., FOR R3
SMT.RENY ANTO FOR R1 AND R2

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App. No.30/2018 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT
ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA,

1946

LA.APP. NO. 353 OF 2017

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 26.07.2016 IN
LAR NO.9 OF 2011 OF PRINCIPAL SUB COURT, KOLLAM

APPELLANT/RESPONDENT IN LAR:

STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM.

BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN

RESPONDENTS/CLAIMANT & 2ND RESPONDENT IN LAR:

- 1 MADHAVAN PILLAI
S/O NARAYANA PILLAI, THENNALA LATHA BHAVAN,
KALLELIBHAGAM MURI, KALLEIBHAGAM VILLAGE,
KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK, KOLLAM NOW RESIDING AT SREE
DURGA VEEDU, PADINJATTEKKARA MURI, THEVALAKKARA
VILLAGE, KOLLAM. 690524.
- 2 MANAGING DIRECTOR
KERALA FEEDS LTD, KALLETUMKARA P.O., THRISSUR-
680683.
- 3 MAHESH
S/O.MADHAVAN PILLAI, THENNALA LATHA BHAVAN,
KALLELIBHAGAM MURI, KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE,
KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK, KOLLAM NOW RESIDING AT SREE
DURGA VEEDU, PADINJATTEKKARA MURI, THEVALAKKARA
VILLAGE, KOLLAM -



4 SREELATHA
D/O. PRASANNAKUMARI AMMA, RESIDING AT FLAT NO.401
A WING, GOWRAV REGENCY, MANGAL NAGAR, MEERA ROAD
EAST, THANE, MAHARASHTRA FROM THENNALA LATHA
BHAVAN, KALLELIBHAGAM MURI, KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE,
KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK, KOLLAM 690518

BY ADVS.
SMT.RENY ANTO - R1
JOSEPH M.P. - R2
K.SIJU - R1
ANJANA KANNATH - R1
MARIYA JOSE - R1
S.ABHILASH - R1

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App..30/2018 AND CONNECTED
CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA,

1946

CO NO. 123 OF 2021

AGAINST LA.App. NO.353 OF 2017 OF HIGH COURT OF KERALA

CROSS OBJECTOR/1ST RESPONDENT:

- 1 MADHAVAN PILLAI
S/O NARAYANA PILLAI, THENNALA LATHA BHAVAN,
KALLELIBHAGAM MURI, KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE,
KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK, KOLLAM NOW RESIDING AT SREE
DURGA VEEDU, PADINJATTEKKARA MURI, THEVALAKKARA
VILLAGE, KOLLAM - 690524
- 2 MAHESH
S/O.MADHAVAN PILLAI, AGED 45 YEARS, THENNALA LATHA
BHAVAN, KALLELIBHAGAM MURI, KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE,
KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK, KOLLAM NOW RESIDING AT SREE
DURGA VEEDU, PADINJATTEKKARA MURI, THEVALAKKARA
VILLAGE, KOLLAM
- 3 SREELATHA
D/O. PRASANNAKUMARI AMMA, RESIDING AT FLAT NO.401
A WING, GOWRAV REGENCY, MANGAL NAGAR, MEERA ROAD
EAST, THANE, MAHARASHTRA FROM THENNALA LATHA
BHAVAN, KALLELIBHAGAM MURI, KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE,
KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK, KOLLAM

BY ADVS.
K.SIJU
S.ABHILASH
ANJANA KANNATH



RESPONDENTS/APPELLANT & 2ND RESPONDENT:

1. STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM
CIVIL STATION, KOLLAM 691013
2. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR, KERALA FEED LTD, KALLETTUMKARA P.O,
THRISSUR DISTRICT, PIN 680 683

SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN, SR. GP

THIS CROSS OBJECTION/CROSS APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY
HEARD ON 28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App..30/2018 AND
CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE EASWARAN S.

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA,

1946

LA.APP. NO. 74 OF 2024

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT DATED 26.11.2016 IN LAR NO.11 OF
2010 OF PRINCIPAL SUB COURT, KOLLAM

APPELLANT(S)/CLAIMANT NOS 2 AND 4:

SUDEVAKUMAR,
AGED 61 YEARS
DEVARAGAM, KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE, KALLELIBHAGAM
MURI, KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM, PIN - 690519

BY ADVS.
R.RANJANIE
MEERA M.

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS AND CLAIMANTS NO.1, 3 AND 5 TO 9:

- 1 THE STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM, PIN
- 695043
- 2 MANAGING DIRECTOR,
KERALA FEEDS LIMITED, KALLETUMKARA P.O, THRISSUR,
PIN - 680683
- 3 MADHAVAN PILLAI (DIED) ,
S/O.NARAYANA PILLAI, THENNALA LATHA BHAVAN,
KALLELIBHAGAM, KARUNAGAPPALLY, PIN - 690518



- 4 YESODHA AMMA,
W/O. DAMODHARAN, RESIDING AT DEVARAGAM,
KALLELIBHAGAM MURI, KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE,
KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK, KOLLAM FROM KOIKKATHARA
MADAM, KALLELIBHAGAM MURI, KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE,
KALLELIBHAGAM TALUK, KOLLAM, PIN - 690519
- 5 DHARANEEDHARAN,
S/O.DHAMODHARAN, AREEPLACHI THADATHIL PUTHEN
VEEDU, MAVILA P.O., ANCHAL, KOLLAM DISTRICT, PIN -
690519
- 6 PREMA SING,
S/O.DHAMODHARAN, MUKALUVILA KALAYIL, AGASTHYACODU
P.O., KOMALAM, ANCHAL, KOLLAM DISTRICT, PIN -
691306
- 7 SREEDEVI,
D/O. DHAMODHARAN, VELUTHASSERIL, KUNNATHOOR EAST,
KUNNATHOOR TALUK, KOLLAM DISTRICT, PIN - 690540
- 8 MADHUSOODHANAN
RESIDING AT LEKSHMI, PAYIKUZHI, OCHIRA, PIN -
690518
- 9 SARALA DEVI,
RESIDING AT DEVARAGAM, KALLELIBHAGAM MURI,
KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE, FROM KOIKKATHARA MADOM,
KALLELIBHAGAM MURI, KALLELIBHAGAM VILLAGE
KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK, KOLLAM, PIN - 690519

BY ADV JOSEPH M.P., R2

SRI. T.K SHAJAHAN, SR. GP, R1

THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 28.11.2024, ALONG WITH LA.App..30/2018 AND
CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:



JUDGMENT

[LA.App. Nos.30/2018, 53/2021, 2/2018, 5/2018, 7/2018, 12/2018, 13/2018, 28/2018, 33/2018, 38/2018, 42/2018, 59/2018, 73/2018, 337/2017, 353/2017, 74/2024, CO No.s 83/2018 and 123/2021]

Amit Rawal, J.

1. This order shall dispose of the appeals and cross objections filed by the State, Beneficiary and land owners, against various judgments of the reference court, pertaining to the acquisition of land consisting various parcel to the extent of 3.58 hectares for formation and development activities of Kerala Feeds. On requisition by the Kerala Feeds, the notification for the acquisition of the said parcels of land was caused on 24.6.2008. Land Acquisition Officer categorized the land as dry land and wet land, further sub categorized into 7 (seven) categories and assessed the land value as Rs.1,04,836/- per Are by relying upon the basic documents bearing number 3240/06 and 2493/06.

2. All the landowners aggrieved of the aforementioned compensation assessed by the Land Acquisition Officer, sought references under Section 18 of the erstwhile Land Acquisition Act. Parties brought on record oral and documentary evidences before the Land Acquisition Officer and claimed Rs.1,50,000/- per cent. The reference court did not accept the aforementioned contentions and awarded the compensation to the tune of Rs.1,87,602/- per Are and Rs. 1,78,222/- by categorizing the land as two parcels. Thus as per the aforementioned compensation it shall come to Rs.75,900/- per cent



and Rs.72,155/- per cent respectively. It is pertinent to mention here the reference court had dealt with the following references bearing number LAR 9/2011, LAR 5/2010, LAR 42/2010, LAR 14/2010, LAR 17/2010, LAR 16/2010, LAR 9/ 2010, LAR 55/2009, LAR 6/2010, LAR 4/2011, LAR 13/2010, LAR 11/2010, LAR 48/2010 and LAR 44/2010, seeking enhancement of the land value.

3. Against the award of the reference court, the beneficiary, State, and the land owners, as stated above, have approached this Court through various appeals and cross objections. It is pertinent to mention here that all the landowners have not come in appeal or filed cross objections for claiming the enhancement of compensation, as sought by few of the land owners.

4. Argument of the beneficiary and the State is common that the reference court could not have enhanced the compensation as noticed above, for, as per the sale deed No. 1221 of 2008, the basic document, the land to an extent of 4.87 Ares was sold for Rs.10,00,000/- (Rupees ten lakhs). By taking out the value of construction, total value of the land was Rs.6,00,000/- (six lakhs) . Therefore, it would be almost Rs.1,23,203/- per Are (approximately Rs.49,879/- per cent). The value assessed by the land acquisition officer was just and reasonable, especially in the absence of any evidence as to the similarity and comparability of the acquired land with that of the property. The property covered in Ext. A7, Sale deed No.2717 of 2008 dated 29.9.2008 was with respect to a commercial



plot, therefore could not have been compared with the acquired land ie., 50 m west of the Lalalji junction, Near Karunagappally KSRTC Bus stand. On the other hand, the landowners sought enhancement on the ground that the acquired land is situated within 50 mts west of Lalaji junction in Karunagappally-Sasthamcotta State Highway. The property is situated adjacent to Karunagappally Railway Station, where on the west of the property, the National Highway No.47 is running. In a distance of 100 mts on north-west side, there is IHRD and polytechnic institute. Besides that, there are/were many of the commercial and industrial establishments and Engineering colleges.

5. By looking at A7, sale deed No.2717 of 2008 dated 29.9.2008, the compensation is liable to be increased at the rate of Rs.2,47,393/ per Are. An extent of 8.40 Ares of land and shop room bearing No.841 to 844 were sold for a consideration of Rs.32 lakhs. No reasoning has been assigned in discarding the aforementioned claim. Our attention has been drawn to paragraph 12 of the judgment dated 26.7.2016 in LAR No.13 of 2010. The categorization of land is also impermissible in view of the *ratio decidendi* culled out in the judgment of the Supreme Court in **Besco Limited v. State of Haryana** [2023 SCC Online SC 1071].

6. In LA Appl No.74 of 2024 arising out of the land acquisition reference No.11 of 2010 dated 26.11.2016, an application for condonation of delay of 2652 days has been sought. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is an



error apparent on the records i.e., in the judgment of the reference court, as two claimants had preferred the claim petition for seeking enhancement. One of the claimants is Madhavan Pillai and the other is Sudevakumar. Madhavan Pillai had already died during the pendency of the reference and his case was rejected. There was a dispute between the two co-owners Madhavan Pillai and Sudevakumar, leading to the filing of a claim under Section 31 of the erstwhile Land Acquisition Act and in those proceedings, the reference court in LAR No.43 of 2009, vide judgment dated 14.2.2011, Ext.A6 decided the case in favour of Sudevakumar and rejected the claim of Madhavan Pillai. But while rendering the findings in the reference under Section 18, the benefit of enhancement has been granted to Madhavan Pillai instead of Sudevakumar. It was further contended that though it was a case of seeking a review, the matter had, by the time, already reached in this court along with the connected case. It is in that circumstance, the delay of 2652 days has occurred which is neither willful nor intentional.

7. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and appraised the paper book.

8. Paragraph 12 of the judgment of the reference court in LAR No.13 of 2010 dated 26.7.2016 reads as under:

Ext.A7 is the certified copy of deed No.2717/08. Ext.A7



cover 4 an extent of 08.40 Ares of land and shop rooms bearing No. 841 to 844 sold for a consideration of Rs.32 lakhs. Ext.A7 itself shows that the eastern side is the market road and northern side is a "road". AW1 in cross examination deposed that the property in Ext.A7 is on the northern side of Karunagappally market. The northern side of Ext.A7 is Karunagappally - Sasthamcotta State highway. The road on the eastern side leads to Karunagappally market. So, a very valuable property like Ext.A7 cannot be treated as yard stick for fixing land value in the present case. Ext.R3 further shows that eventhough property having access through vetturoad was given land value @ Rs.1,23,039/-, the land value is fixed on the basis of deed No.3240/06 for a lesser consideration of Rs.1,10,354/-. The contention of 2nd respondent of non-production of document of Kallelibhagam village cannot be given due weight, as 1st respondent relied property of Karunagappally village. So, the basis land is also found to be not acceptable as it does not reflect correct market value.

9. On perusal of the same, it is evident that the alleged reasoning assigned does not have any cogent basis or a foundation to reject the documents Ext.A7, certified copy of the sale deed bearing number 2717/2008 dated 29.9.2008, pertaining to the sale of land measuring 08.40 Ares of land consisting of four shop rooms, which was sold for a total consideration of Rs.34,50,000/- . The price of the land excluding the value of the building accrues to Rs.32 lakhs. It comes to Rs. 3,80,952 per Are. However reference court by rejecting the same, applied the guess work and assessed the compensation as Rs.1,87,602/- and Rs. 1,78,222/- by categorizing the land into two parcels.



10. The price of the property sold vide sale deed No.2717 of 2008 pertained to the land to an extent of 08.40 ares, would come to Rs.3,80,952/- per Are. The location of the property has been fortified through the testimony of the Commissioner's report Ext.C1 reflecting it to be 500 meters away from the Karunagappally junction, but for the reasons best known, has not been relied upon while arriving at a conclusion.

11. Thus in our considered view, the guess work adopted by the reference court should have been done away with, instead, the contents of the sale deed should have been taken into consideration while dealing with the claim of enhancement. Accordingly, we reject the appeals filed by the State as well as by the beneficiary seeking reduction in the amount of compensation. Keeping in view the contents of the sale deed, Ext.A7, measuring 08.40 Ares of land sold for a consideration of Rs.32 lakhs excluding the value of the building, which comes to Rs.3,80,952/- per Are ie., Rs.1,54,231/- per cent, we thus award the same as the market value of the land for the purpose of satisfying the claim of the claimants by doing away with the belting system in view of the *ratio decidendi* culled out in **Besco Limited** (*supra*). In other words, uniform rate will be applicable to the entire land acquired for the development activities of Kerala Feeds.

12. We are confronted with the situation where few of the land owners, owing to the paucity of the sources, have not



chosen to claim the enhancement as they were required to pay a substantial court fees but their grievance cannot remain unaddressed, in view of the enhancement granted to the cross objectors and the appellants, who have sought enhancement of the compensation. Thus by exercising the power under Order 41 Rule 33 of the Code of civil procedure, the court is not denuded to exercise such power in granting the benefits to the person who have not chosen to file an appeal.

33. Power of Court of Appeal.—The Appellate Court shall have power to pass any decree and make any order which ought to have been passed or made and to pass or make such further or other decree or order as the case may require, and this power may be exercised by the Court notwithstanding that the appeal is as to part only of the decree and may be exercised in favour of all or any of the respondents or parties, although such respondents or parties may not have filed any appeal or objection 1 [and may, where there have been decrees in cross-suits or where two or more decrees are passed in one suit, be exercised in respect of all or any of the decrees, although an appeal may not have been filed against such decrees]: 1 [Provided that the Appellate Court shall not make any order under section 35A in pursuance of any objection on which the Court from whose decree the appeal is preferred has omitted or refused to make such order.]

13. Accordingly, the same benefit is accorded to the land owners who have not chose to file appeal under the provisions of aforementioned Act. All the land owners shall be entitled to the statutory benefits. Resultantly, the cross objection filed by the landowners are allowed.



14. As far as appeal No.74 of 2024 is concerned, by accepting the reasons given, we thus condone the delay of 2652 days and accept the argument on the premise that the claim under Section 31, in the dispute between the Madhavan Pillai and Sudevakumar, the learned Reference Court vide award dated 14.2.2011 in Reference No.43 of 2009 decided the matter in favour of Sudevakumar. Therefore, compensation assessed by the Reference court which was benefited to Madhavan Pillai, claimant No.1, is required to be modified and it will be construed to be claimant No.2. It is further clarified that the present claimant would also be entitled to the same benefit while exercising the power under Order 41 Rule 33 of the Code of civil procedure ie., the enhancement subject to payment of court fees.

15. Claimants are granted two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the judgment, to pay the balance court fee/any deficiency. It is made clear that the benefit is being granted to only those persons who have not settled with the claim with beneficiary.

Sd/-

AMIT RAWAL
JUDGE

sab

Sd/-
EASWARAN S.
JUDGE



As per order dated 28.02.2025 in LA 1/25 the following modifications may be made to the common judgment dated 28.11.2024 in the below mentioned LAA appeals and Cross objections.

LAA 30/18

As per order dated 28.02.2025 in IA 1/25 in LAA 30/18 the applicants/additional respondents 3 to 5 are entitled to proportionate costs.

LAA 33/18

As per order dated 28.02.2025 in IA 1/25 in LAA 33/18 the applicants/additional respondents 3 to 5 are entitled to proportionate costs.

CO 83/19 in LAA 337/17

As per order dated 28.02.2025 in LA 1/25 in CO 83/18 in LAA 337/17, proportionate costs subject to furnishing of memo of cost, has been awarded to the applicants/cross objectors.

CO 123/21 in LAA 353/17

As per order dated 28.02.2025 in IA 1/25 in CO 123/21 in LAA 353/17, proportionate costs subject to furnishing of memo of cost, has been awarded to the applicants/cross objectors.

Sd/-

JOINT REGISTRAR



APPENDIX OF LA.APP. 74/2024

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

Annexure A1

THE CERTIFIED COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED
14.2.2011 IN LAR NO. 43/2009, ON THE
FILE OF THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SUB
JUDGE, KOLLAM,