

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

C.R. No.117 of 2023.

Date of decision: 30.09.2024

Udho Ram

...Petitioner.

Versus

Sandeep Gupta

...Respondent.

Coram:

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?

For the petitioner : Mr. Karun Negi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

For the respondent : Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for the respondent.
Mr. Sandeep Gupta, respondent-in person.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

CMP No.18470 of 2024.

The petitioner has moved this application stating therein that an oral settlement has taken place between the parties, wherein the petitioner/tenant has undertaken to vacate the tenanted premises on or before 30.11.2024 and in lieu of this the respondent/landlord has undertaken not to claim any use and occupation charges or the rent and the arrears of rent, if any.

The application is with the prayer that in view of the oral settlement arrived at between the parties, the present petition be disposed of and the petitioner be permitted to vacate the premises on or before 30.11.2024.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent/landlord, on the basis of instructions imparted by Sh. Sandeep Gupta who is present in the Court as identified by learned counsel, affirms the settlement and understanding arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel further submits that the respondent/landlord will not claim any use and occupation charges/arrears of rent, if any from the petitioner-tenant in case, he vacates the tenanted premises by 30.11.2024.

3. In view of the amicable settlement of dispute between the parties, the parties are directed to abide by the above mutual settlement. In case the petitioner does not vacate the premises by 30.11.2024, it shall be open to the respondent/landlord to take further action in accordance with law.

4. In view of above the Civil Revision Petition stands disposed of. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

30th September, 2024
(manish)

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge