

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA****Execution Petition No.204 of 2024****Date of Decision: 30.11.2024****Ram Parshad and Others****.....Petitioner****Versus****State of H.P. and Others****.....Respondents****Coram****Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.****Whether approved for reporting?****For the Petitioners:** Mr. Vineet Vashishta and Mr. K.C. Chauhan, Advocates.**For the respondents:** Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General, for respondent No.1/State.

Ms. Aashima Premy, Advocate, vice Mr. Raman Jamalta, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

**Sandeep Sharma, J. (Oral)**

By way of present execution petition, prayer has been made by the petitioners for implementation and execution of order/judgment dated 07.09.2017, passed by erstwhile Himachal Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Camp at Mandi, in OA No.162/2016, titled Ram Prashad and Others Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and Others, whereby learned H.P. Administrative Tribunal while disposing of the Original Application, directed respondents/competent authority that on finding the petitioners to be similarly situate, benefit of order, as mentioned in the order, shall also be extended to them within three months. Since no action, whatsoever, came to be taken at the behest

of the respondents pursuant to aforesaid direction, petitioner has approached this Court in the instant proceedings.

**2.** Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General and Ms. Aashima Premy, Advocate, vice Mr. Raman Jamalta, Advocate, while putting in appearance on behalf of the respondent No.1 and respondent No.2, respectively, state that though they have every reason to presume and believe that by now, order/judgment sought to be executed, must have been complied with in its totality, but if not, same would be definitely complied with within a period of two weeks from today.

**3.** Consequently, in view of the fair stand adopted by the learned Additional Advocate General and learned counsel representing respondent No.2, this Court sees no reason to keep present petition alive and accordingly, same is disposed of with direction to the respondents to do the needful in terms of judgment sought to be executed, within a period of two weeks, failing which petitioners would be at liberty to get the present petition revived so that appropriate action in accordance with law is taken towards the implementation of the order/judgment.

**November 30, 2024**

*(Rajeev Raturi)*

**(Sandeep Sharma),  
Judge**