

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA****Ex. Petition No.784 of 2024****Decided on: 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2024**

---

Sonki Ram

...Petitioner

*Versus*

State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.

...Respondents

---

***Coram*****Hon'ble Mr. Justice Vivek Singh Thakur, Judge****Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge**<sup>1</sup> *Whether approved for reporting?*

---

For the petitioner:

Ms. Babita Chauhan, Advocate,  
vice Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate.

For the respondents:

Mr. Manoj Chauhan, Additional  
Advocate General.

---

**Vivek Singh Thakur, Judge (Oral)**

This execution petition has been filed for implementation of judgment dated 12.01.2023, passed in **CWP No.4913 of 2022** titled **Sonki Ram** versus **State of HP and Others** decided along with **LPA No.165 of 2021**, titled **State of HP and others versus Surajmani and others**.

---

<sup>1</sup> *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

2. Learned Additional Advocate General has informed that against the judgment passed in CWP No.4913 of 2022, State has preferred SLP(C) No.6895 of 2024 [Diary No.32956 of 2023] in the Supreme Court and as such the judgment passed in favour of petitioner Sonki Ram has not attained finality.

3. It has been further submitted that SLP preferred in present matter has been tagged with SLP preferred in **Madan Lal's case**, in which interim protection has been granted to the respondent-State.

4. In view of above, present petition is closed and disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file afresh, if occasion arises to do so for redressal of grievance, if any.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of. ]

**(Vivek Singh Thakur)**  
**Judge**

**(Ranjan Sharma)**  
**Judge**

**September 30, 2024**  
*(himani)*