

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA

CWP No. 4949/2024
Decided on: 31.05.2024

Sarita Kumari & Anr.Petitioners

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors.Respondents.

Coram

Ms. Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner : **Mr. Parav Sharma, Advocate.**

For the respondents. : **Mr. R.S. Verma and Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional Advocates General.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J

Notice. Mr. R.S. Verma, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. The record of the case shows that the petitioners have directly moved this Court without representing to the respondent/competent authority.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioners to represent to the respondent/competent authority for the redressal of their grievances raised in the writ petition. It is hoped and expected and learned Additional Advocate General assures that as soon as the

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

representation is preferred, the same shall be decided by the competent authority in accordance with law expeditiously & preferably within three months from the receipt thereof.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

**Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge**

May 31, 2024 (rohit)