



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 31ST DAY OF DECEMBER, 2024

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

WRIT PETITION NO.35830 OF 2024 (LB-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT AMEENABI
W/O LATE HUSAIN SAB
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
OCC-COOLIE
2. FAZANA W/O ATIKULLA
AGED ABUT 45 YEARS.
OCC-COOLIE
3. SHABANA W/O RAFIQ
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS
OCC-COOLIE.

ALL ARE R/OF
ARABILICHI GRAMA PANCHAYAT
BHADRAVATHI TALLUK
SHIVAMOGGA DISTRICT - 577 233.

...PETITIONERS

Digitally signed
by SHWETHA
RAGHAVENDRA
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

(BY SRI. HIEMATHAD MAHESHIAH RUDRAYYA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT.
VIKASA SOUDHA,
DR. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU- 560 001



2. THE TAHASILDAR,
BHADRAVATHI
BHADRAVATHI TALLUK
SHIVAMOGGA DISTRICT.
3. THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
BHADVATHI TALLUK PANCHAYATH
BHADRAVATHI
SHIVAMOGGA DISTRICT.
4. THE PANCHAYATH DEVELOPMENT OFFICER
ARABILICHI GRAMA PANCHAYAT
BHADRAVATHI TALLUK
SHIVAMOGGA DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.MOHAMMED JAFFAR SHAH, AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226
AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO
QUASH THE NOTICE/MEMORANDUM ISSUED BY THE
RESPONDENT NO.3 DATED 24/10/2024 BEARING NO.
PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-E AND PASS SUCH OTHER ORDER
OR DIRECTION AND ETC.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS COMING ON FOR *ORDERS*, THIS
DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ



ORAL ORDER

1. Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondent Nos.1 and 2.
2. Notice to respondent Nos.3 and 4 is dispensed with in view of the proposed order to be passed.
3. The petitioners are before this Court seeking for the following relief:

"WHEREFORE, The petitioner above named respectfully pray that, this Hon'ble court be pleased to quash the notice/memorandum issued by the respondent No-3 dated 24-10-2024 bearing No- ತಾ. ಪಂ. ಭಾ/ಅ(3)/ಗ್ರಾ ಪಂ ಅ/ ಸ ಒ ತೆ /2024-25/629 produced at ANNEXURE-E-, and pass such other order or direction in the interest of justice and equity."

4. What is under challenge is only to a notice issued by respondent No.3. The petitioner is always at liberty to reply to a notice by placing all the documents that the petitioner seeks to rely upon including making a request for survey of the property. Reserving such liberty, petition stands **disposed of**. It is needless to say until orders are passed on the reply issued, no coercive steps can be taken by the respondents.

**SD/-
(SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)
JUDGE**