

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE KIRANMAYEE MANDAVA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 2994 OF 2019



Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India aggrieved by the order dated 11.08.2019 in I.A.No.960 of 2019 in O.S.No. 844 of 2013 on the file of the Court of the II Additional Senior Civil Judge, Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.

Between:

1. K.Samrajya Lakshmi, W/o Sudhakar, aged about 49 years R/o Door No.54-11-59/1 Opp. Dr. V.S. Krishna Govt. College Main Gate Maddilapalem, Visakhapatnam
2. Surya Sudhakar, S/o K. Rama Rao, aged about 51 years R/o Door No.54-11-59/1 Opp. Dr. V.S. Krishna Govt. College Main Gate Maddilapalem, Visakhapatnam
3. Pericharla Ramajogi Srinivasa Raju, S/o Harinadha Raju, Hindu, aged about 42 years R/o Door No. 49-54-13/2, Balayya Sastry Layout Visakhapatnam

...Petitioners/Defendants

AND

Narsipuram Madhava Rao, S/o late Appa Rao, Hindu, aged about 63 years R/o Door No. 9-13-6/2, New Resapuvanipalem Visakhapatnam

...Respondent/Plaintiff

IA NO: 1 OF 2019

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings in O.S.No. 844 of 2013 on the file of the II Additional Senior Civil Judge, Visakhapatnam.

Counsel for the Petitioners: SRI. P RAJKUMAR

Counsel for the Respondents: M/s. V LAKSHMI HARISH

The Court made the following: ORDER

APHC010337872019



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3458]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE KIRANMAYEE MANDAVA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 2994/2019

Between:

K.samrajya Lakshmi and Others

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

Narsipuram Madhava Rao

...RESPONDENT

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

L.P RAJKUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent:

L.V LAKSHMI HARISH

The Court made the following:

The present Civil Revision Petition is filed against the Order dated 11.08.2019 in I.A.No.960 of 2019 in O.S.No.844 of 2013, on the file of the II Additional Senior Civil Judge, Visakhapatnam, rejecting the petition filed by the petitioners under Order 18 Rule 17 of the Civil Procedure Code (for short the "C.P.C"), seeking to recall PW1 for further cross examination.

2. It is stated by Mr S.Vijaya Kumar, learned counsel, representing learned counsel for the petitioner, that the suit was decreed on 27.09.2023 and the matter has become infructuous. The Registry also put up a report obtained from the e-Courts website, which shows that the suit was decreed

on 27.09.2023. In that view of the matter, the issue raised in the present Civil Revision Petition would not survive.

3. Recording the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the Civil Revision Petition is dismissed as infructuous.

There shall be no order as to costs. As a sequel, all pending applications shall stand closed.

SD/- A VIJAYA BABU
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The 11nd Additional Senior Civil Judge, Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District. **(with records if any)**
2. One CC to Sri. P Rajkumar, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to Sri. V Lakshmi Harish, Advocate [OPUC]
4. The Section Officer, V.R Section, High Court of A.P. at Amaravati.
5. Three CD Copies
vna

HIGH COURT

DATED:28/03/2024

ORDER

CRP.No.2994 of 2019

**DISMISSING THE CRP AS INFRUCTUOUS
WITHOUT COSTS**

