

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 35 OF 2024  
IN  
BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3120 OF 2023**

Arjun Sekhar

.Applicant

*Versus*

The State of Maharashtra & anr.

.Respondents

---

Mr. Veerdhaval Deshmukh a/w. Mr. Akshay Mishra i/b.  
Hulyalkar & Associates, Advocate, for the Applicant  
Ms. Veera Shinde, APP, for Respondent No. 1 – State  
Mr. Satyaprakash Sharma i/b. Mr. Subir Kumar, Advocate, for  
Respondent No. 2

---

**CORAM: MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.**

**DATE: 31.01.2024**

**P. C.**

1. Heard Mr. Deshmukh, learned counsel appearing for the Applicant, Ms. Shinde, learned APP appearing for Respondent No. 1 and Mr. Sharma, learned counsel appearing for Respondent No. 2 – The Superintendent (Anti Evasion) CGST & C.EX., Raigad.

2. The Applicant is already granted bail by a learned Single Judge (Coram : M. S. Karnik, J.) by order dated 11.12.2023 passed in B. A. No. 3120 of 2023.

3. The Applicant is seeking modification of Clauses (b), (c) and (d) of the aforesaid order. The said Clauses (b), (c) & (d) of the aforesaid order read as under :-

*“(b) The applicants Arjun Sekhar and Aditya Sekhar in connection with RCC No. 513/2023 arising out of Remand Application in File No. F.NOV/P1/RGD/GR-1/ PASSION PLAY/ 30-258/ 2022-23 registered with Superintendent of CGST & C. Ex., Raigad Commissionerate, shall be released on bail on their furnishing P. R. bond of Rs. 50,000/- each with one or more local sureties in the like amount.*

*(c) The applicants are permitted to furnish cash bail surety in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- each for a period of 4 weeks in lieu of surety.*

*(d) The applicants shall attend the CGST, Raigad once in a week on every Sunday of the month between 11.00 a.m. and 1.00 p.m. till further orders of the trial Court.”*

4. Insofar as Clause Nos. (b) and (c) are concerned, the Applicant is seeking six weeks more time to furnish local sureties. However, as a last chance, four weeks time is granted to the Applicant to furnish local sureties in terms of the aforesaid Clause Nos. (b) and (c).

5. Insofar as Clause No. (d) regarding attendance is

concerned, Mr. Sharma, learned counsel appearing for Respondent No. 2 states that the Respondent No. 2 has no objection, if the said condition is modified to the effect that the Applicant will attend the office of Respondent No. 2 as and when required by Respondent No. 2. Accordingly, the said condition is modified by directing that the Applicant will attend the office of Respondent No. 2 as and when required by Respondent No. 2.

6. Mr. Sharma, learned counsel appearing for Respondent No. 2 further states that insofar as Clause No. (i) of the said order surrendering of passport to the Investigating officer is concerned, the Applicant has not complied with the said condition. Mr. Deshmukh, learned counsel appearing for the Applicant, on instructions, states that the aforesaid Clause No. (i) will be complied with within a period of one week from today.

7. Accordingly, the Interim Application is disposed of in above terms.

**[MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.]**