



2024:CGHC:47046

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

CONT No. 1246 of 2024

Rajesh Kumar Kotwani S/o Radha Kisan Kotwani Aged About 53 Years R/o Shyam Na-
gar, Polsay Para, Durg, Tahsil And District Durg (C.G.)

---- **Petitioner**

versus

1 - Shri Rajesh Kukreja Senani, First Batalian Chhattisgarh Armed Forces Bhilai, Tahsil
And District Durg (C.G.)

2 - Shri Panchram Salame Additional Tahsildar Durg, Court Of Nayab Tahsildar Durg -02
District Durg (C.G.)

3 - Shri Harvanshe Mirre Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) Durg District Durg (C.G.)
---- **Respondents**

CONT No. 1247 of 2024

Shahid Khan S/o Habib Khan Aged About 42 Years R/o Kasaridih, Gali No. 75, Behind
Of Rao Cottege Near Sahu Kirana, Durg, Tahsil And District - Durg, Chhattisgarh.

----**Petitioner**

Versus

1 - Shri Rajesh Kukreja Senani, First Battalian Chhattisgarh Armed Forces Bhilai, Tahsil
And District Durg, Chhattisgarh.

2 - Shri Panchram Salame Additional Tahsildar Durg, Court Of Nayab Tahsildar Durg -02
District Durg, Chhattisgarh.

3 - Shri Harvanshe Mirre Sub-Divisional Officer (Revenue), Durg, District Durg, Chhattis-
garh.

---- **Respondents**

For Petitioner : Mrs. Fouzia Mirza, Senior Advocate appears
along with Mr. Ali Afzaal Mirza, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. Praveen Das, Deputy Advocate General and
Mr. Ajay Kumar Pandey, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar Verma, Judge**Order on Board****29.11.2024**

1. Heard learned counsel for the respective parties and perused the record with utmost circumspection.
2. This contempt petition has been filed under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with Section 12 of the Contempt of Court Act, 1971.

Vide order dated 24.09.2024, this Court has passed the following order/direction:-

“8.For the foregoing discussions, writ petitions are allowed. Respondents are directed to unseal the shop and hand over possession of respective shops to petitioners,expeditiously preferably within a period of one week from the date of receipt of this order. However, respondent no. 1 or the state will be at liberty to take recourse to law for getting evicted petitioners from rented shops as available under law.
”

3. When the case is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the respective parties submits that the order/direction of this Court vide order dated 24.09.2024 passed in WPC NO. 1729 of 2024 along with WPC No. 1730 of 2024 and WPC No. 1854 of 2024 has duly been complied with. Learned counsel for the respondents in support of his contention, thereof has placed on record relevant document/letter dated 28.11.2024.
4. In view of the above, the present contempt petitions i.e. Cont. No. 1246 of 2024 and Cont. No. 1247 of 2024 are closed and are accordingly disposed of.
5. No order as to cost(s).

sd/-

**(Arvind Kumar Verma)
Judge**