



2024:CGHC:42420

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 5420 of 2024

1 - Dr. M.K. Dwivedi S/o Dr. I.K. Dwivedi, Aged About 68 Years R/o 1/a, Street-V, Sector-9, Bhilai, District-Durg (C.G.)

... Petitioners

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District-Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2 - The Collector, District-Durg (C.G.)

3 - The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bhilai, District-Durg (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Faiz Kazi, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Vinay Pandey, Dy. A.G.
For Respondent No.3	:	Ms. Shrijita Kesharwani, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Rajesh Kesharwani, Advocate

{{Hon’ble Shri Justice Sachin Singh Rajput}}

Order on Board

25.10.2024

1. Heard.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the present writ

petition may be disposed of permitting the petitioner to make a detailed representation to respondent/competent authority for the grievances projected in the writ petition, respondent/competent authority may be directed to consider and decide the same within the reasonable period of time in accordance with the relevant rules and law applicable to the case of petitioner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent submits that he is having no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.
4. Be that as it may, after considering the submissions made by the both the parties at bar and on perusal of the documents available on record, this petition is disposed of, at this stage, permitting the petitioner to make a detailed representation before the respondent No.2/competent authority projecting all his grievances as projected in this writ petition along with the copy of petition within 30 days from today and in turn respondent No.2/competent authority is expected to consider and decide the same within the period of 120 days from submission of such representation in accordance with the relevant rules and law applicable to the case of petitioner.
5. If any adverse order is passed against the petitioner, he may avail the remedy available under the law.
6. This Court does not express anything on the merits of this case.
7. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations and directions.

Certified Copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Sachin Singh Rajput)
JUDGE

Abhigya.S