

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 4342 of 2024**

- Rakesh Kamle S/o Shri Jethuram Kamle, Aged About 27 Years
R/o Village Mangla, Tahsil and District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department of School Education Ministry, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Director, Directorate of Chhattisgarh Public Instruction Sector-17, Kotara Bhantha, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Deputy Director, Directorate of Chhattisgarh Public Instruction First Floor, Block- C, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
4. Chhattisgarh Vyavasayik Pariksha Mandal (CGVYAPAM) Vyapam Bhawan, North Block, Sector-19, Atal Nagar, New Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents**(Cause-title, as taken from CIS System)**

For Petitioner	: Mr. Sudhir Kumar Sahu, Advocate
For Respondents/State	: Ms. Nupur Trivedi, P.L.
For Respondent No. 4	: Mr. Avinash Singh, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sachin Singh Rajput**Order On Board****31.07.2024**

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking for the following reliefs :-

(i) That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authority to issue similar order as per similar order dated 05/09/2023

passed in WP(S) No. 6045/2023, in the interest of justice.

(ii) That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to give opportunity to correct mention as D.Ed. instead of wrongly mentioned as B.Ed. in online application form, within stipulated time, in the interest of justice.

(iii) Any other relief which may be suitable in the facts and circumstances of the case, may also be granted.

2. At the outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that this writ petition may be disposed of giving liberty to the petitioner to make a detailed representation before the respondents/competent authority raising all the grounds as raised in this writ petition along with the copy of this petition and in turn, respondents/competent authority may also be directed to consider and decide the same at the earliest within any specific period as fixed by this Court.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents submit that they are having no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.
4. On the basis of the submission made by both the counsel for the parties, at this stage, without entering into the merits of the case, this writ petition is disposed of giving liberty to the petitioner to make a detailed representation before the respondents/competent authority raising all the grounds as raised in this writ

petition along with the copy of this petition within '**10 days**' from the date of receipt of copy of this order and on submission of such representation, the respondents/competent authority is directed to consider and decide the same within an outer limit of **30 days** from the submission of such representation in accordance with the relevant rules and law applicable to the case of the petitioner.

Sd/-

(Sachin Singh Rajput)
Judge

\$. Bhilwar